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## CHAPTERI INTRODUCTION

This report examines the current conditions affecting the health of Southern steelhead in the Upper Salinas River. The Salinas River is the largest river system on the central coast of California, flowing northward 170 miles from San Luis Obispo County, through Monterey County and emptying into Monterey Bay near the town of Marina. With a total watershed area of approximately 4,160 square miles, it is more than twice the size of any other river system from San Mateo to Santa Barbara. Originally named Rio Santa Delfina by early explorers and soldiers, it was later named Rio Monterey by the explorer Portola. Because of the salt beds near Monterey Bay, the river was eventually renamed Salinas.

The river has been the subject of various authors, such as John Steinbeck and Anne B. Fisher. Mrs. Fisher provided a thorough chronology of the history of the Salinas Valley in her book, The Salinas, Upside Down River, written in 1945. She called it the "upside down river" because it flows north, while most western rivers flow west or south. In "California Rivers, A Public Trust Report" prepared by the California State Lands Commission in 1993, the Salinas River is described as one of the "largest submerged rivers" in the United States because of its significant subsurface flow.

The principal tributaries of the Salinas River are the Estrella River which drains the large arid eastern region of San Luis Obispo and southeastern Monterey Counties; the Nacimiento River, the San Antonio River and the Arroyo Seco River which originate in the wet Santa Lucia Mountain Range along the coast; and the San Lorenzo River which flows from the Gabilan Range east of King City.

The Salinas has been listed as a "Category 1, Impaired Watershed" and one of the most critical rivers in California by the State Water Resources Control Board due to its degrading condition and the impacts of nonpoint pollution on water quality. Around the beginning of the 20th century, the Salinas River and tributaries supported a large population of steelhead trout. Today, only small populations of steelhead remain in a handful of the Upper Salinas tributaries. The factors leading to the decline of steelhead and a list of actions that can help in the recovery of this anadromous fish are the subject of this report.


Healthy Stream Channel, Atascadero Creek near Highway 41 at the 3 bridges area

In the early 1940's, the Salinas River was dammed near the town of Santa Margarita to provide water for the community of San Luis Obispo as well as the nearby Camp San Luis Obispo military training facility. During the 1950's, the Nacimiento and San Antonio Rivers were also dammed. The Monterey County Water Resources Agency operates both the Nacimiento and San Antonio Dams, using the water to recharge groundwater basins in the lower Salinas Valley. Prior to its damming, the Nacimiento River was known to have one of the largest populations of steelhead in the Salinas Watershed. The three dams had a dramatic affect on steelhead populations because
the dams excluded steelhead from upstream habitat, there was reduced available water in downstream rivers, there were reduced gravels and cobbles in downstream channels, and other changes that impacted steelhead. The dams are believed to be a major reason for the decline in steelihead in the Upper Salinas River.

Since the late 1700's, the Upper Salinas River valley bas been used for agriculture and is now one of the most productive valleys in the world. Urban centers of Paso Robles, Atascadero, San Miguel, Templeton, Santa Margarita and Shandon have experienced significant growth during the last half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. The Upper Salinas Watershed has been transformed by these changes. Steelhead fisheries within the Salinas River and tributaries have declined over a number of years. Eroded soil has polluted streams and riparian vegetation has disappeared. Trespass and vandalism have become rampant and contributed to the overall degradation of water supply and quality (California State Lands Commission, 1993).

In 1991, local citizens organized a planning effort to look at problems in the Salinas Watershed. A Coordinated Resource Management and Planning (CRMP) process resulted in an initial planning program for the Upper Salinas Watershed. In 1998 a number of individuals and agencies started the Upper Salinas Watershed Coalition. Their efforts were to renew the watershed planning effort started by the CRMP. More recently, an Upper Salinas Task Force was formed, comprised of the many stakeholders in the region. An Upper Salinas Technical Advisory Committee was appointed to provide guidance to the Task Force and the Resource Conservation District.

The Salinas River is also part of a larger planning effort involving the watersheds that affect the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary. The Salinas River Watershed is the primary source of both freshwater and fine sediment in Monterey Bay. The health of the Salinas River is critical to the health of Monterey Bay. The Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District (USLT RCD ) is coordinating their planning of the Upper Salinas watershed with the planning for the larger region, working with agencies and organizations such as the Natural Resources Conservation Service, the Department of Fish and Game, the Regional Water Quality Control

Board, the State Water Resources Control Board, the Farm Bureau, the Nature Conservancy, and many others.


Offroad vehicles in the Salinas River have destroyed habitat and polluted the water

This report contains a list of "Early Actions" intended to continue the process of improving the conditions for steelhead and improving water quality. The next step in the planning process will be the preparation of a watershed resource conservation management plan for the region.

CHAPTER II
Steellhead in the Upper Salinas Watershed

## CHAPTER II STEELHEAD IN THE UPPER SALINAS WATERSHED

## A. Steelhead Background:

Steelhead trout (Oncorhyncus mykiss) is an anadromous salmonid that migrates to sea and later returns to inland waters as adults to spawn ( $\mathrm{Li}, 1998$ ). In the ocean steelhead migrate north along the continental shelf, pass in a great eastern/southem crescent around the Gulf of Alaska, continue south along the shelf of the Aleutian Island chain to a vast marshaling ground near $50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $170^{\prime}$ W longitude. In this area of the Pacific Ocean they mingle with steelhead from other North American streams, and the Asian steelhead from streams on the Kamchatka Peninsula. When they migrate back, they reverse the journey or make a direct line back to North American shores, then travel south until they reach the estuary of their home stream (Thornton, 1996).

This species has two distinct races, winter steelhead and summer steelhead, so named because of the season of the year these fish enter their home streams (McEwan \& Jackson, 1996; CALFED, 1998; Thornton, 1996).

Winter steelhead begin ascending their natal streams as early as November, and the runs continue through April; some rivers actually have winter steelhead entering their system as late as June. Summer steelhead begin to enter their home rivers in June and continue through October. Spawning for both races occurs throughout the winter months in fist sized gravel. Unlike Pacific salmon, steelhead are able to reverse the chemical and physical changes that occur prior to the spawning, and can survive to spawn the following season (Thornton, 1996).

The California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) has classified steelhead into seasonal runs according with their peak migration period. In Califormia there are well defined winter, spring, and fall runs. Summer steelhead are present only in north coast drainages. Winter steelhead are present in north coast drainages, the Sacramento River Watershed, the central and south Coast Drainages, and in the Salinas Watershed (McEwan \& Jackson, 1996; CALFED, 1998; Dave Higland - CDFG, personal communication).

Spawning populations of steelhead are found in coastal rivers and streams from Southern California to the Smith River near the Oregon Border, in the Sacramento, and the Salinas River Watersheds. These locations are typified by light current flow, and instream structures such as ledges, troughs, logs, and large boulders (McEwan \& Jackson, 1996; Thornton, 1996; CALFED, 1998; Highland, Marshall \& Nelson, 1999; Franklin,1999, Penrod, et.al., 2000).

The genetic stock of steelhead in the Central Valley has likely been depleted due to cross-breeding by hatchery and steelhead trout. Significant records of historical distribution and abundance have not been published (Li, 1998; McEwan \& Jackson, 1996).

The anadromous life history pattern probably confers a survival advantage to steelhead, especially in unstable variable climate and hydrographic conditions, which exist in the Salinas River Watershed. The Salinas River is a special "upside-down river", because it flows northward, and for long stretches it flows underground. Shortages and abundance of water-droughts and floods are part of the history of the Salinas Central Valley (McEwan \& Jackson, 1996; CALFED, 1998).

Li (1998), and McEwan \& Jackson (1996), reported that steelhead prefer to spawn in clean, loose gravel and swift water as shallow as 0.75 foot, with velocity of 1.5 feet per second. This species is less tolerant than other anadromous salmonids of sediment in the gravel, probably because the eggs are smaller and the oxygen requirements for developing embryos are higher.

Loss of vegetation in the riparian corridors could be one of the factors that is contributing to increases in stream temperatures and the disappearance of steelhead. The temperature requirements for this species depend on the season and life stage. The optimal temperature for spawning is generally between $39^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and the optimal temperature for incubation is $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The optimal temperature range for fry and juvenile rearing is from $45^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, and a sudden change in stream temperature generally results in high mortality of the embryos. The optimal temperature for smoltification is 570 F (Li,1998; McEwan \& Jackson, 1996).

Factors impacting the survival of steelhead include dams, concrete structures in creeks or improperly placed culverts, and road crossings. Other significant factors include lack of riparian vegetation, erosion and sedimentation, increase in predator populations, fences across creeks, improper management practices, and urban development. In several creeks in the Upper Salinas Watershed, steelhead migrations have been interrupted by barriers as well as by damage to their habitat. Steelhead populations are a true barometer of the health of watersheds and of the ocean (McEwan \& Jackson, 1996; Thornton, 1996; CALFED, 1998; Highland, Marshall \& Nelson, 1999; Franklin, 1999).

The natural bydrography of the upper Salinas River has been altered by agricultural and municipal water development, and steelhead populations have been impacted by dams blocking access to the head waters of the Salinas River and its tributaries. In addition these dams turned hundreds of miles of rapidly moving water into slow, calm pools. Steelhead are not adapted to slow water migrations. Inadequate stream flows due to excessive diversions, increased water temperature, logging, mining, and irrigation have also made the amount of spawning and rearing habitat available negligible compared to historic levels (McEwan \& Jackson, 1996; Di Silvestro,1997; Franklin, 1999).


Female central coast Steelhead


Male central coast Steelhead


Preparing to milk female central coast Steelhead for roe


Fertilized eggs collected from central coast Steelhead in preparation for spawning

The Upper Salinas - Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, with the support of the California Department of Fish and Game has organized quarterly task force meetings to document issues affecting steelhead in the Upper Salinas Watershed. Stakeholders include the Natural Resources Conservation Service, California Conservation Corps, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Atascadero Water Mutual Company, other local, state and federal Agencies, ranchers, farmers, urban development groups, and people dedicated to enhancement of water quality and steelhead habitat in the region.

## B. History of the Steelhead in the Upper Salinas River Watershed:

Records about the steelhead populations and migrations in the Upper Salinas River Watershed have not been published. CALFED (1996) reported that there is little explicit documentation of historical distribution of steelhead in the California Central Valley, including the Salinas Valley.

However, notes from files, provided by Mr. Mike Hill from the Califormia Department of Fish and Game compiled information with descriptions, amounts and locations of steelhead in the Salinas Watershed since 1947. In addition, Mr. Harold Franklin (1999), a former sciences teacher, wrote an unpublished article about the history of steelhead in this watershed. This article summarizes interviews with families who have settled this region since the late 19th century.

Historically, the Salinas River had an annual migration of steelhead trout and Chinook salmon. These anadromous fish found their way up the Salinas River to streams (where they were born several years earlier) in order to spawn and continue their populations. Few steelhead are still fighting with "the human civilization structures and activities", to follow their ancestors' riffles and perpetuate this species in the Upper Salinas Watershed. The salmon run seems to have ended in about 1915 (Franklin, 1999).

Opportunities for steelhead angling depended on sufficient rainfall, but few years had sufficient rainfall. Even though tributary streams provided considerable flows during the winter months the lower 10-15 miles of the Salinas River frequently remained dry, with the flows from tributaries being taken into the ground water basin. Most of the steelhead passed through the Salinas river when the waters were higher, but when the water dropped and cleared, it was very easy to catch steelhead (Franklin, 1999).

The following map indicates historical and current locations for steelhead in the Upper Salinas River watershed:
sterlihead distributionin
UPPER SALINAS WATERSHED

## B.1. Historical records of Steelhead in the Nacimiento River and other tributaries in western San Luis Obispo County near the Monterey County line:

The Nacimiento River (which is now constrained by the Nacimiento Reservoir), is a tributary of the Salinas River. In San Luis Obispo County in the Upper Salinas Watershed, there are twelve major tributaries that feed into Nacimiento Lake in addition to the Nacimiento River: Kavanaungh Creek, Cantinas Creek, Asbury Creek, Gould Creek, Caballada Creek, Little Burnett Creek, Tobacco Creek, Town Creek, Franklin Creek, Las Tablas Creek, Dip Creek, and Snake Creek.

In the 1890's the Nacimiento River, above its confluence with the Salinas River, seems to have had more salmon than the Salinas River proper. Eli Wright was an early pioneer who lived up by Cantinas Creek in the 1880's and 1890's. He strung a fence across the river to collect fish and took enough steelhead to can and smoke every year, as well as some salmon (Franklin, 1999).

Duane Hall described how in the 1930's, he and his friend caught steelhead in Little Burnett Creek, Cantinas Creek, and Tobacco Creek. Mr. Hall stated that Tobacco Creek had plenty of nice steelhead. These fish were everywhere when water was plentiful; however, water no longer flows in this creek and the steelhead are gone. (Franklin, 1999). Franklin also reports, that in 1939 Mr. Don Keefer caught a dozen steelhead in the Nacimiento River, and in 1941 Abe Claassen caught a salmon in Las Tablas Creek.

In February 1941 there was a tremendous amount of rain. Mr. Ray Doce and Mr. Ray DeBois caught a Chinook salmon and steelhead in Las Tablas Creek. Two weeks later Jake Knuckles caught another Chinook salmon in this creek (Franklin, 1999).

In 1947 Fred Hecker, Captain of Patrol for the Division of Fish and Game reported steelhead going up the Nacimiento and San Antonio Rivers from the Salinas River (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG). Steelhead runs up the Nacimiento River dwindled off rapidly within in a couple years of the completion of the Nacimiento Dam. When the lake overflowed due to spring rains, exotic species including bass and bluegill entered the Salinas River and ultimately found their way to Paso Robles and Jack Creeks. Within several years the exotic species depleted populations of small trout and steelhead (Franklin, 1999).

The building of the Nacimiento River Dam in 1956, and the San Antonio River Dam in 1958 reduced the steelhead run up the Salinas River above the mouth of the Arroyo Seco (in Monterey County). The Arroyo Seco River empties into the Salinas River near Greenfield. The Arroyo Seco River still has a steelhead migration and could very well be the source of a few steelhead that still come up the Salinas River (Franklin, 1999).


Nacimiento River looking downstream from the dam. Steelhead no longer have access to the Nacimiento River west of the dam.


The Estrella River one mile east of the confluence with the Salinas River. Riparian vegetation along the Estrella has disappeared.

## B.2. Historical records of Steelhead in the Salinas River and tributaries in eastern San Luis Obispo County

The Salinas River has two major tributaries in eastern San Luis Obispo County: the Estrella River and the Huerhuero Creek. The Estrella River in turn has three tributaries: Indian Creek, Cholame Creek, and San Juan Creek. The Huerhuero Creek also has three tributaries, the East Branch, Middle Branch and West Branch.

In 1900, William and Jobn Jardine checked the Huerhuero Creek during the spring runoff after a wet winter. They saw some steelhead in a pool, and caught them very easily. In the 1920's and 1930's, Mr. Elmer Anderson caught steelhead in one of the tributaries of Huerhuero creek. This tributary was perennial and steelhead may have spawned there in previous years. Steelhead rarely went up Huerhuero Creek - this was an unusual event in a wet winter (Franklin, 1999).

Mr. J. Inglekey caught salmon and steelhead during wet winters from the late 1920's to early 1930's. He caught a Chinook salmon in Gillis Canyon, about 8 miles east of Shandon up San Juan Creek, and steelhead at the confluence of Huerhuero Creek and the Salinas River. Chinook salmon runs were very heavy in the 1890 's, but fell off sharply in about 1910, and by 1943 ended in the Salinas River (Franklin, 1999).

In 1930, Mr. Norman Bridge and his father caught steelhead at the confluence of the Estrella and Salinas Rivers, and at the junction of Huerhuero Creek with the Salinas River. Between 1933 and 1936 Mr . Bridge and his father saw only one steelhead in the Estrella River. His father who was born and raised in the vicinity, never mentioned seeing a run of steelhead in the Estrella River, even. though there was plenty of water in springs all the way up past Shandon, a distance of approximately fifteen miles. Henry Twisselman in his book Don't Get Me Started tells about traveling from Cholame to San Miguel and catching steelhead in the Salinas River (Franklin, 1999).

During some years the Salinas River never flowed, or the flow was too small to push through the bar at the mouth on Monterey Bay. The Salinas River normally quit flowing about June to August, even before any dams were built or the country was settled. The first rains generally occurred in October, but the river usually didn't flow until the heavier rains came in December or January. In 1942 Mr . Cecil Smiley discovered that one of the best location to catch steelhead was the 13th Street Bridge across from the Paso Robles Water Works. Fishing was best during a cold winter in January or February (Franklin, 1999).

In 1955 Pelgen, Fisk \& Paul of the California State Department of Fish and Game prepared a report regarding fish, wildlife, and recreation in the Salinas River Basin. In this report, steelhead angling was one of the sports suggested for the area although angling and recreational opportunities were limited (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG).

## B.3. Historical records of Steelhead in San Marcos Creek, Mustard Creek and their tributaries:

San Marcos Creek is a tributary of the Salinas River featuring seven tributaries and a small dam. Mustard Creek is also a tributary of the Salinas River, and has two unnamed tributaries.

There is little information about steelhead in San Marcos and Mustard Creeks. In the early 1920's Mr. Claude Booker caught steelhead in San Marcos Creek. Steelhead came up San Marcos Creek several miles south of San Miguel to year-round springs on the San Marcos tributaries (Franklin, 1999). However, information concerning historical presence of steelhead in Mustard Creek is unavailable. Sightings of steelhead in San Marcos and Mustard Creeks have not been reported in recent years.

## B. 4 . Historical records of Steelhead in Paso Robles Creek:

Paso Robles Creek is a tributary of the Salinas River and has three tributaries: Willow Creek, Jack Creek, and Santa Rita Creek. Willow Creek's tributary is Sheepcamp Creek and Jack Creek's tributary is Summmit Creek. Santa Rita Creek has three tributaries: Rocky Creek, Cienaga Creek, and South Fork of Santa Rita Creek.

Jim Hall mentioned that it would take about seven days for the steelhead to enter Paso Robles Creek from Monterey Bay, and two more days to reach their spawning areas up Jack Creek. After the Salinas River rose enough to clear the sand bar at its mouth, waiting fish could begin their journey up river. They would travel up the river day and night, pausing to rest along the banks where the swift running water gouged out holes with quiet eddies in which they could rest and recuperate (Franklin, 1999).

In 1937, Emest Claassen found a great place to fish along Jack Creek. He fished from below Hidden Valley up to the junction with Santa Rita Creek. The fish came up beginning about the third week of January and stayed until the end of February, and if it was a wet year, he could fish until March. The fish were larger at the beginning of the run and were smaller toward the end of the run. In 1941 or 1942, Abe Claassen, Ernest's brother observed a large Salmon in Santa Rita Creek (Franklin, 1999).

In 1937 Edgar Wiebe said that Jack Creek was the best area around the country for fishing. As more houses were built and people moved into the area, it became riskier for fish. In the 1950's, Mel Hammons said that in Jack Creek at Hidden Valley there were fewer and fewer fish and more people, and from 1960's on they didn't see steelhead in the area (Franklin, 1999).


Paso Robles Creek west of Santa Rita Creek Road


Fish Barrier on Paso Robles Creek upstream confluence with Santa Rita Creek

In 1944, Eldon Bergman said that his father counted 22 steelhead in Jack Creek. The fish were either spawning on the riffles or resting in the holes, preparing to spawn. He said that a few steelhead probably still came up after the Nacimiento Dam was built because he saw steelhead in the Upper parts of Jack Creek in the 1960's and 1970's (Franklin, 1999).

The steelhead runs on Jack Creek typically began in January and ended in March, depending on the rains. Heavy late rains could bring steelhead upstream as late as May. Jack Creek, and Santa Rita Creek had the most steelhead before the 1950's (Franklin, 1999).

In May 1947, Patrol Captain Fred Hecker from the Division of Fish and Game reported that few steelhead migrated up to Paso Robles Creek and its tributaries, Santa Rita and Jack Creeks (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG).

In May 1960, M.R. Schreiber from the California Department of Fish and Game surveyed Paso Robles and Santa Rita Creeks. He described spawning areas from the mouth of Paso Robles Creek to above its confluence with Santa Rita Creek. A large spawning area occurred from this location north to the Hwy 41 bridge. Above the Hwy 41 bridge, this spawning area decreased in size. Steelbead were noted in every pool observed during the course of the survey. However, the Nacimiento Dam stopped the steelhead rum within two years of its construction in 1956, and even caused steelhead to vanish in Santa Rita Creek (Franklin, 1999).

In November 1973, Mike Seefeld and Jim Schuller from CDFG didn't find steelhead in Jack Creek. However, on June 18, 1975, the San Luis Obispo Telegram Tribune featured an article about two young anglers who caught a pair of steelhead in Jack Creek (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG).

In addition, Mr. Franklin (1999) mentioned that Jack Creek was a very important spawning area for steelhead. He described how a pair of fish made a nest or redd about a foot deep, laid and fertilized their eggs then covered up with their swirling tails. The eggs incubated in the moving water and the fry hatched. The fingerlings migrated to holes that had water all summer, or if the holes dried up, they worked their way down into the gravel where it was wet.

Dave Highland, CDFG has reported seeing steelhead in Jack Creek recently. The steelhead in this area are probably a mixture of migratory steelhead and resident populations (Dave Highland personal communication).

In 1939, Mr. Wes Franklin and his brother caught steelhead in Willow Creek, and in the 1940's Mr. Eldon Bergman canght steelhead in Summit Creek (Franklin, 1999).

In the 1930's, Mr. Milton Dueck and his father caught steelhead at the head waters of Santa Rita Creek. Steelhead were plentiful those days in all of Santa Rita Creek's tributaries that had even a


San Cuis Oesisno TELEGRAM-TRiBCWE Kenneth Dutra, left, and Randy Best display their Jaek Creels catches. (Photo by Warren Groshong) WEVU TUNE 10,1975

## Adelaida fisherman gets big steelhead

Jack Creek-a North County stream longuknown to a few fishermen as good trout water - has produced liniker steelhend for a pair of young anglers.

Kenneth Dutra caught one of the searun trout, which ipparenily migrated to the Sutines River into tributary Jack Creek. It measured 28 inches from nose to forked tall and weighed out at just under nine pounds.

Dutra said he was fishing on a stretch of creek which runs through his family's rasch near Adeloida when be hooked the big ftrh on a "Balle of Fire" salmon egg.

A companion, Randy Best, proudly displayed a smaller fish taken from the sume atretch of Jick Creek. It was 16 tinches long.
trickle of water flowing in them. Santa Rita Creek flowed year-round due to numerous springs, and in the winter steelhead worked their way up into gullies above the springs that dried out during the summer (Franklin, 1999).

In 1955 Mr. Hartsell built a 35 -foot high dam across Santa Rita Creek below Rocky Creek's mouth forming a big lake that covered 100 acres or so. The dam stopped steelhead from getting up into their main spawning grounds. For a few years steelhead came up to the dam's base however, steelhead are not found in Santa Rita Creek today (Franklin, 1999).

In November 1973, Judy Tartaglia of Department of Fish and Game mentioned in her Santa Rita Creek report that the deterioration of the Salinas River due to major dam construction had eliminated steelhead from upper spawning areas in the Salinas Watershed such as Santa Rita Creek (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG).

## B.5. Historical records of Steelhead in Graves Creek:

Graves Creek joins the Salinas River, approximately one-quarter mile south of the mouth of Paso Robles Creek.

The steelhead runs on Graves Creek started in January and ended in March, and depending on the rains could bring steelhead upstream through May. Before the 1950's Graves Creek was considered one of the creeks with the greatest abundance of steelhead (Franklin, 1999).

On May 19, 1999, a steelhead survey in Graves Creek was conducted by Jennifer Nelson, Chuck Marshal and Dave Highland of the California Department of Fish and Game, Jim Patterson of the Atascadero Water Mutual Company, and Jody OIson, an Independent Biologist. In this survey five locations were evaluated, but steelhead were not found (Nelson, et.al., 1999b).

## B.6. Historical records of Steelhead in Toad Creek:

Toad Creek is a tributary of the Salinas River with two tributaries, Little Toad Creek and Big Toad Creek. Toad Creek is located just 1.5 miles north of the Upper Salinas-Las Tablas RCD office in Templeton.

Mr. Ray Nelson mentioned that in the 1920's steelhead were caught in Little Toad Creek as well as in Big Toad Creek which flows through Templeton (Franklin, 1999). Steelhead have not been reported in Toad Creek in recent years.

## B.7. Historical records of Steelhead in the Salinas River at Atascadero and in Atascadero Creek:

Atascadero Creek is a tributary of the Salinas River flowing eastward, parallel to Highway 41. This creek has two main tributaries, Eagle Creek and Hale Creek. Atascadero Creek is an intermittent stream and unfortunately is not very healthy in the urban areas. Problems such as trespassing, illegal dumping, ORV's, urban pollution, lack of riparian vegetation, and other factors have degraded the habitat available for steelhead migration and reproduction.

In the winter of 1942-1943, Mr. Franks and his brother caught steelhead and three Chinook salmon behind the Atascadero State Hospital, located south of Atascadero. In 1944, Harold and Wess Franklin caught steelhead where Highway 41 first crosses Atascadero Creek at Old Morro Road; they just jumped in and grabbed the steelhead with their hands. Wess Franklin and Art Buchanan also fished up Atascadero Creek to its head waters on the Eagle Ranch where a waterfall tumbles over a ledge 10 or 12 feet high into a pool. That fall is the farthest point upstream to which a migrating steelhead can travel and that is where they caught steelhead. Jim Hall mentioned that before the 1950's, Atascadero Creek had a lot of steelhead (Franklin, 1999).

In February 1981, The U.S. Fish and Wild Service wrote a report on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' proposal to build a Small Flood Control Project for the Salinas River at Atascadero. In their considerations, they mentioned that approximately 500 steelhead run in this area. The steelhead adults entered the river as early as November, and were observed as far upstream as the Salinas Dam. Fishing activity in this area was minimal. Mr. Franklin's son saw two steelhead in the Salinas River below the Paloma Road culverts south of Atascadero in March, 1998 (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG; Franklin, 1999).

On May 18 and 19, 1999, a steelhead survey in Atascadero Creek was conducted by Jennifer Nelson, Chuck Marshal and Dave Highland of the California Department of Fish and Game; Donald J. Funk of the Upper Salinas - Las Tablas RCD; Jim Patterson of the Atascadero Water Mutual Company; and Jody Olson, Independent Biologist (Nelson, et.al., 1999a).

In this survey eight locations were evaluated and six had steelhead present Kathleen Valley small upstream of McLean Spring, and in Hale Creek in a slide area. A pool on Atascadero Creek upstream of Hale Creek had steelhead with different classes of age. Plum Orchard and Atascadero Creek at Three Bridges, upstream of the middle bridge, had steelhead young of year and yearling steelhead. Steelhead were also found in a pool in Atascadero Creek at Three Bridges (Sycamore Road Bridge).

On December 14 and 15, 1999, another Atascadero Creek steelhead Survey was conducted by

Jennifer Nelson, Dave Highland, Erika Cleugh, and Jim Stainbrooks of the California Department of Fish and Game, Donald J. Funk from Upper Salinas - Las Tablas RCD, Jim Patterson of the Atascadero Water Mutual Company, and Jody Olson, Independent Biologist. This survey involved Hale Creek which is perennial from the dam down to the confluence with Atascadero creek. Nineteen locations were evaluated in this survey, and 16 had steelhead in different age classes (Nelson, et, al., 1999c).

On April 7, 2000, Jennifer Nelson presented a report about these 1999 surveys. In the summary she mentioned that the perennial waters in Hale and Eagle Creeks provided a combination of spawning and rearing habitat, instream shelter and adequate food supply. Low summer/fall stream flow, however, decreased steelhead populations in these creeks (Nelson, 2000).

There are several explanations for the low steelhead densities found in the lower reaches. One possible explanation is that adults are not successfully migrating up the Salinas River to Atascadero Creek to spawn, perhaps due to low flows which do not permit migration. If the flow is optimum for short periods of time, the adults may stranded lower in the Salinas River. It is also possible that successful migration, spawning and rearing occurred in the past, but when the smolt began to migrate down the Salinas River in the spring, they became stranded as the flows in the river dropped. If the smolts die before they get to the ocean, there will not be any adults to return.


Atascadero Creek at 3 bridges area


Fish Barrier on Atascadero Creek at Curbaril Road sewer line crossing


Vehicle destruction of vegetation and alteration of natural channel flow in the Salinas River near Templeton


Trash and damage from OHV use in Salinas River at Atascadero Creek

## B.8. Historical records of Steelhead in Santa Margarita Creek and Salinas River at the head waters:

Santa Margarita Creek has three tributaries: Trout Creek, Yerbabuena Creek and Tassajara Creek. Below the Salinas Dam, the headwaters of the Salinas River has three tributaries: Moreno Creek, Pilitas Creek and Rinconada Creek with its tributary, Burrito Creek. Santa Margarita Lake or Salinas Dam was constructed on the Salinas River in 1940. Santa Margarita Lake has three tributaries flowing into it: Salsipuedes Creek, Toro Creek with his tributary, Yara Creek, and Alamo Creek.

Above the dam, the head waters of the Salinas River has a tributary, Pozo Creek, that in turn has its own tributary, Trujillo Creek. The Salinas River has five more unnamed tributaries in this segment.

In May 1947, Patrol Captain Fred Hecker of the Division of Fish and Game, reported a small number of steelhead in Santa Margarita and Trout Creeks. Captain Hecker also wrote a report about the Salinas Reservoir San Luis Obispo County. He noticed that before the dam was built in 1940 a few steelhead went up the Salinas River as far as Pozo and an occasional fish was seen as far up as the U.S. Forest Service Avenales Guard Station during winters of exceptionally heavy rainfall (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG).

Mr. Hecker pointed out that flow in the river was now regulated at the dam, reducing the steelhead run at this point because the river no longer reached flood stage. The demise of the steelhead run began when the Salinas Dam was built in 1940 at Santa Margarita Lake, reducing the flow of water in the Salinas. Jim Hall mentioned that before before the 1950's, Tassajara Creek had a lot of steelhead and some steelhead migrated up the Salinas River to the Pozo area (Franklin, 1999).

In September 1975, W. Snider with the Department of Fish and Game reported that Tassajara Creek provided some of the more suitable steelhead habitat within the drainage. The protection and preservation of the habitat provided in Tassajara Creek was important for the preservation of the Salinas River's steelhead fishery although residential construction and grading along this creek resulted in siltation and sedimentation of Tassajara Creek (Mike Hill's Old files - CDFG).

In 1999, residents around the Santa Margarita Creek area reported the presence of large steelhead to Dave Highland, CDFG. They were probably migratory steelhead because Santa Margarita Creek's flow cannot support a resident population with larger sizes (Dave Highland - CDFG, comm. pers).

## CHAPTER IIII <br> Channell Conditions

## CHAPTER III CHANNEL CONDITIONS

## A. Channel Study Methodology

Over the past 18 months, the Upper Salinas-Las Tablas RCD staff, with assistance from the California Conservation Corps, has conducted water quality surveys, suspended sediment studies, vegetation evaluations and morphological surveys of steelhead streams located within the Upper Salinas River Watershed. The primary work has involved the study of Atascadero Creek and the Salinas River. This work is still in progress and the results and conclusions contained in this report are based solely on the portions of the stream network that has been surveyed and from the general observations of those portions which are yet to be surveyed.

The study has evaluated the elements of the streams that affect the health of steelhead. Since steelhead still inhabit several streams in the region, the results of the study have been used to create a list of recommended actions that can improve the conditions for steelhead.

## FACTORS AFFECTING STEELHEAD:

Water quality
Water quantity
Water temperature
Good pool-riffle channel characteristics
Nonembedded cobbles and gravels in spawning areas
Accessibility for the fish throughout the river system
Riparian canopy and shading of streams

USGS QUAD maps, USDA aerial photos and other maps and aerials were used to evaluate the stream conditions. A planimeter was used to determine watershed areas. A map wheel was used to measure the length of stream channels on maps and aerial photos. Data from FEMA, State Fish and Game and other sources was researched.

The survey work included the placement of a number of "control" bench marks throughout the watershed. These bench marks permit the periodic review of the changes in the channel configurations, indicating more precisely the amount of bank and bed erosion that is occurring in the upper portions of the watershed and how much aggradation is occurring in the lower portions nearest the bay. GiS assistance has also been provided by the CCC and will be used in future surveys of the region. The field survey information is recorded manually in a typical form, with information regarding the physical characteristics of the creek and surrounding channel and terraces. This data is later inputted into a computer spreadsheet data base and graphed for evaluation and presentation. This information has been cross-referenced with the vegetation data and biological study.

## Primary Field Equipment:

Surveyors levels and tripods
100 and 200 foot fiberglass tape measures
LaMotte Turbidity Kit
pHydrion pH dip sticks
The Science Source Water Sampler
60 centimeter turbidity tube w/ secchi disk
Spherical Densiometer
Hip wadders

Stadia rods
\#4 rebar and pipe used for bench marks Sentry I Dissolved Oxygen Meter Thermometer calibrated in degrees centigrade Imhoff Sediment Cone and Stand Flow Probe Hand-held Flowmeter Pencils and "Write in the Rain" Log Books Camera

This channel study focuses on the Salinas River and Atascadero Creek. Portions of stream channels within the Upper Salinas River Watershed have been surveyed in detail. We also conducted visual and photo surveys of other portions of the watershed and used black and white, color and infrared aerial photographs. The work has been conducted in the alluvial valley areas and at the entrance to canyons.

The cross-sectional figures and longitudinal diagrams contained in the appendix exhibit the conditions that are described in this report. Since there are no known previous morphological surveys of these creeks, our conclusions are necessarily based upon careful observations of the creek surroundings and discussions with persons who had witnessed previous creek conditions.

Other indicators are often useful in evaluating stream channel changes. For example, in some locations we observed old creek beds located many feet horizontally and several feet higher than the current creek thalweg, indicating the degree of degradation and outside bank erosion. Often, the previous creek bed was still evident by the observation of cobbles and gravels observed in eroded banks. Sometimes, structures such as culverts and bridges helped to identify creek channel changes. We surveyed the elevations of these "indicators" to assist in our evaluation of the channel morphology. Those interviewed regarding historical conditions included: Harold Franklin, retired school teacher, fisherman and long time local resident; Mike Hill and Jennifer Nelson, Department of Fish and Game biologists, and Dave Highland, Fish Habitat Specialist, California department of Fish and Game.

The streams are evaluated using the State Fish and Game, Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual classification system. This system of channel classification, first devised by David Rosgen and published in the geomorphological journal, Catena in 1994, is now accepted and used by State Fish and Game, the US Forest Service and many other public agencies. The classification system categorizes channels based upon a number of factors, including:

- Channel slope
- Channel sinuosity
- Stream bed materials
- Entrenchment ratios
- Braided vs. non-braided conditions
- Bankfull width-depth ratios

The following diagram indicates the stream types which were used to evaluate the conditions of channel and habitat in the Upper Salinas River Watershed:


Stream Channel Classification Diagram Department of Fish \& Game \& Dave Rosgen


Water quality survey training at Atascadero Creek near High School


Conducting water quality survey on Atascadero Creek

## B. Observed Conditions and Conclusions

Some of the reaches surveyed in the Upper Salinas Watershed indicate characteristics that are favorable for steelhead. These reaches generally have dense bank vegetation and significant canopy as well as numerous riffles and pools that provide habitat for steelhead.

Other reaches have conditions that are not as favorable for steelhead. These reaches generally are entrenched streams deeply incised in relatively gentle terrain. The width to depth ratios of these reaches are high, most exceeding 12 to 1 . Some reaches have either been relocated and "straightened" to allow for construction of roads, freeways and other development. Other reaches have been "enchannelled" by levees. Dams and other diversions and barriers affect several channels. The result has been a loss of vegetation as well as severe bed degradation and bank erosion. Not surprisingly, this is a likely source of sediment impacting the Salinas channel in Monterey County.

- Atascadero Creek near 3 Bridges, west of Atascadero: This reach is located 25,000 to 25,326 feet southwest of the confluence of Atascadero Creek and the Salinas River. At this location, Atascadero Creek exits a narrow canyon and enters a broad alluvial valley. Part of the terrace appears to have been filled to construct Highway 41. The stream has been perennial during the years 1998 through 2000. The year 2001 was drier than normal. During the fall of 2001, the stream became intermittent at the bridge and only flowed perennially upstream of the bridge.

The area east of the western-most bridge is comprised of stable C-3 and C-5 Channel Types. The channel bed is predominantly sand near the bridge. Short reaches downstream of the bridge have gravel and cobble beds. Much of the channel in this area is characterized by berry vines and willows. Bank vegetation coverage is above 90 percent, except at the bridge, which has a base of both concrete and rock gabions. The channel in close proximity to the bridge appears to have been modified by grading and bridge construction and is an entrenched F-5 channel. Downstream of the bridge, the channel types are stable C-3 and C5 , characterized by a series of pools and riffles. For the first 200 feet upstream of the bridge, the channel slope is 0.5 percent. This reach is a stable C-5 channel with a large pool over 2 feet in depth. (Refer to Sectional Diagrams at the end of this report)

For the next 125 feet upstream, the slope increases to 3 percent. This reach is a stable B-2 channel, characterized by small boulders with numerous step-pools. At the cross-section located along the north side of the bridge, 25,000 feet from the confluence, the entrenchment ratio is 1.42 to 1 . This ratio is higher than the typical entrenchment for this reach due to the filling of the channel conducted during construction of the highway bridge. At the 25,300 foot cross-section, the entrenchment ratio is 1.85 to 1 and the channel appears to be relatively stable.

Recent electrofish studies of this reach have resulted in positive findings for steelhead (J. Nelson, Dept. of Fish and Game). The cobbles and gravels in riffles are not embedded, pools are relatively deep (some over 2 feet deep) and the water has good dissolved oxygen (DO) and clarity. DO levels have been consistently above 5.0 with levels usually in the range of 6 to 9 and turbidity is less than 5 Jackson Turbidity Units (JTU), even during moderate rainstorms.

The canopy is 50 percent near the bridge and 75 percent upstream of the bridge. The canopy in the upper edges of these locations is not only created by vegetation, but by the bridges as well. In the early fall of 2001, the canopy vegetation was evaluated by densiometer. On the south edge of the third bridge, the canopy vegetation can be considered poor with $47.0 \%$ total cover. The percentage of bare soil was between $0-10 \%$ and the percentage of vegetation ground coverage was more than $76 \%$, but $40 \%$ of this is concrete.

One possible constraint for steelhead is a potential barrier located approximately 2 miles downstream at the Curbaril sewer line crossing. That concrete structure has the potential to easily clog with sediment and become a deterrent to fish passage.

- Atascadero Creek near West Mall Bridge (Old Highway 41): The distance of this reach from the confluence with the Salinas River is 4,685 to 5,450 feet. The bridge is located at 4,950 feet. The location of this reach starts northeast of the West Mall bridge and extends upstream approximately 500 feet southwest of the bridge.

The channel has cut through a broad, gently sloping alluvial plain. The terrace has a slope of less than 0.5 percent. During the course of this study, stream flow has been perennial at this reach. Flows during the fall become very small, sometimes decreasing to less than 1 cubic foot per second.

This reach of the channel has probably gone through a transition from a stable C-3 and C-5 channel to the current unstable F-3, F-5 and F-6. Channel beds are sand downstream of the bridge. The bed is cobble for approximately 100 feet (a short riffle). Upstream, the channel is a combination of silt and sand. Recent deposits appear to contain significant quantities of silt.

There are several areas of serious bank erosion, most noticeably at meander turns. Berry vines and willows stabilize some banks. However, the eroding sections have little or no vegetation and banks are close to vertical. The slope of this reach (about 750 feet in length) is 0.5 percent. The terrace is 22 feet above the thalweg. This is 15 feet higher than twice bankfull elevation. Even a 100 year flood is constrained within the natrow walls of this quickly eroding channel. The right bank has 90 percent vegetation coverage while the left bank has 0 percent coverage at this cross-section. In the early fall of 2001, the canopy vegetation was evaluated by densiometer. At the West Mall site, just downstream of the
bridge, the canopy vegetation can be considered optimal with $98.5 \%$ of total cover, the percentage of bare soil was between $0-10 \%$ and the percentage of vegetation ground coverage was between 51-75\%. (Refer to the Atascadero Creek Sectional Diagrams at the end of this report)

In addition to the eroding banks, the channel bottom is degrading. The channel thalweg has eroded vertically almost 4 feet within the past 21 years, an average of .2 feet per year. At cross-section 4,950 , the entrenchment ratio is 1.42 to 1 . This site, located on the southwest side of the bridge, has been armored with 2 foot riprap boulders.

At the 5,300 cross-section, the entrenchment ratio is 1.15 to 1 . This area of the channel is extremely entrenched and unstable. The entrenched channel causes high stream velocities. The Army Corps estimated velocities of 10.6 feet per second during a 100 -year storm and flows of 6,625 cubic feet per second. The left channel bank has eroded horizontally 3.1 feet during the past 12 months. A very large oak tree is being threatened; its roots have been undermined by the erosion. A pool across from the oak tree has filled with sediment during the last year. If the bank is not stabilized soon, the weight of the oak tree will overwhelm the strength of the vertical bank and the tree will collapse into the channel, taking with it an estimated 30 feet of terrace soil.

During the winter of 2001, the Atascadero Unified School District constructed a riprap structure downstream of the oak tree. That section of bank had eroded toward the school district offices. They recently drilled a well and constructed a small water storage tank on the terrace near the riprap structure.

No recent electrofish studies have been conducted at this reach. Cobbles and gravels are somewhat embedded and riffles have a high percentage of sands, probably due to constant nearby bank erosion. Canopy is estimated at 25 percent. Water quality is generally good, although there is considerable higher turbidity during storms than at the 3 Bridges site, approximately 4 miles upstream. DO levels are consistently above 5.0 with levels usually in the range of 6 to 8 . If excessive sediment can be controlled by reducing bank erosion and improving drainage runoff from the urban area, conditions for steelhead would improve.

- Atascadero Creek at the confluence with the Salinas River: This reach is 1,700 feet in length, beginning at the Salinas River extending upstream to about 100 feet above the Sycamore Street bridge. At the confluence, the Atascadero Creek drainage area is 19.7 square miles. The channel has been subject to substantial modification. The historical confluence was about 1,400 feet upstream of the current confluence. The right terrace is relatively recent, being constructed about 30 years ago. The Salinas River was moved easterly and a tall levee constructed along the left bank of the river to prevent erosion of the new terrace. Most of the riparian vegetation that existed along Atascadero Creek and the

Salinas River in 1949 aerial photos has disappeared.

During the course of this study, stream flow is perennial upstream of 1,400 feet and intermittent from 1,400 feet to the confluence with the Salinas River. Water quality is similar to that at the West Mall Bridge. As the water flows decrease during the fall, the stream becomes increasingly stagnant and musty odors are noticeable. This stagnant condition is more apparent downstream of the bridge during the lowest flows.

Army Corps surveys of the channel in 1981 indicate that there was a sudden drop of about 2 feet in height approximately 300 feet downstream of the Sycamore Street bridge. That drop has moved upstream to the southwest side of the Sycamore Street bridge. The average slope of the reach below the Sycamore Street bridge is 0.3 percent. At the southerly edge of the bridge, there is a two foot tall concrete grade control structure, originally constructed to protect a pipeline. During the storms of 2001, the grade control structure partially failed. Erosion of the channel behind that structure has begun to occur.

Several years ago, a rock riprap structure was constructed along the right bank at the middle of a long outside meander curve of Atascadero Creek. Three years ago, the Atascadero Mutual Water Company conducted an extensive bank restoration project which included a regrading of eroding banks to a 2 to 1 slope and planting of those new banks with a combination of upland and riparian vegetation. The Water Company also removed several low berms along the channel, opening up terrace areas to beneficial flooding.

The channel contains a series of shallow pools, ranging from 1 to 2 feet in depth. The channel is highly entrenched. The entrenchment ratio at the 500 foot cross-section is 1.23 to 1. At the Sinuosity is low, with at ratio of 1.12 to 1 . This reach of Atascadero Creek is channel type F-2. (Refer to the Atascadero Creek Sectional Diagrams at the end of this report)

As mentioned above, the 1949 aerial photos indicate considerable channel vegetation. Most of that vegetation disappeared by 1978. Bank coverage prior to the Water Company's restoration project in 2000 was 0 percent on the left bank and 25 percent on the right bank at the 500 foot cross-section. After the restoration, the bank coverage has improved to 75 percent on both the left and right banks. Most of that vegetation is grasses. Overcoverage is 0 percent. The reestablishment of riparian vegetation may initially require supplemental irrigation.

Vehicle use in both Atascadero Creek and the Salinas River is quite evident at this reach. Tire tracks and vehicle debris is prevalent, even during stream flow. Frequent OHV recreational use of the stream and river has been observed. Compaction of the channel sands and gravels as well as the destruction of young riparian vegetation is an obvious result.


Atascadero Creek at the West Mall bridge (old Highway 41)


In-Channel Erosion caused by loss of vegetation and channel entrenchment.
Location: Atascadero Creek 350 feet upstream of West Mall bridge.


Stable Step-Pool B-2 type stream channel at Atascadero Creek east of 3 Bridges


Stable C-3 type channel, Atascadero Creek near 3 bridges

- Salinas River at the 13th Street Bridge, Paso Robles: This cross-section was surveyed along the northerly edge of the 13 th Street bridge. The channel is approximately 500 feet wide from edge of the west terrace to the edge of the east terrace. The drainage area is 390 square miles. In 2000, the stream flow was intermittent, with the channel flowing on the surface until mid summer. In 2001, the stream flow was also intermittent, but surface flow contimued until late fall. Surface flow is generally very small after the end of spring, with one or more narrow stream flows meandering down the middle of the wide sandy bottom. Flows can vary dramatically, with storms resulting in flows over 28,000 cubic feet per second (Years 1969 and 1995 per USGS Gaging Station Data, Station No. 11148500). During storms in 1969, the river almost reached the underside of the 13th Street Bridge, a depth of almost 27 feet. (Refer to the Salinas River Cross-Section at the end of this report)

This is a site where historically there were steelhead trout and it has been described as a formerly good fishing hole. Today, conditions are not good for steelhead. The channel is highly entrenched. The entrenchment ratio is only 1.13 to 1 . Channel slope is less than 1 percent. Aerial photos of the site in 1949 indicated that the channel bed had significant riparian vegetation. Much of the historical vegetation is gone from the channel. Channel banks have moderate vegetation cover. As with the site at the confluence with Atascadero Creek, there is obvious OHV recreational use of the Salinas River channel in Paso Robles. Vehicle tracks have been observed across the majority of the channel bottom both upstream and downstream of the 13th Street bridge. Vehicle tracks are evident during much of the year. Off road trespass vehicle use has occurred for a number of years. Trash accumulates within the channel.

The vegetative cover on the right bank is 60 percent at the cross-section. The vegetative cover on the left bank is 30 percent. Based upon analysis of 1949 aerial photos, the historic vegetative bank cover was close to 100 percent at this location. Most of the original riparian vegetation has disappeared. Vegetative overcoverage (shading) is now less than 10 percent.

The bed is comprised mostly of sand, with a small proportion of gravels and cobbles. The Salinas River at this location is an unstable F-5 type channel.


Salinas River at $13^{\text {th }}$ Street Bridge, Paso Robles


Estrella River at the North River Road crossing near the confluence with the Salinas River

- Estrella River Near the confluence with the Salinas River: The Estrella River is the largest tributary system of the Salinas River. The location of this survey is approximately 6,000 feet southeast of the confluence with the Salinas River, at the crossing of North River Road. The total drainage area of the Estrella watershed is 948 square miles, approximately double the drainage area of the Salinas River at the point of confluence with the Estrella.

Much of the Estrella River watershed is arid with rainfall averaging less than 10 inches per year over the majority of the drainage. While average rainfall is low, major rainstorms occur every few years, sometimes causing flooding of adjacent terrace areas. For example, in 1969 , the Salinas River Gaging Station in Paso Robles recorded a peak flow of $28,000 \mathrm{cfs}$. In that same year, a peak flow of $32,500 \mathrm{cfs}$ was recorded at the Estrella River Gaging Station near the community of Estrella. In 1978, a peak flow of $14,500 \mathrm{cfs}$ was recorded in Paso Robles on the Salinas River while the Estrella River had a peak of $31,900 \mathrm{cfs}$. But rainfall in the Upper Salinas Watershed seldom follows consistent patterns. For example, in 1995, when the Salinas had a peak of $28,400 \mathrm{cfs}$, the Estrella experienced a peak of only $15,900 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Like the Salinas River, the Estrella has experienced major changes in vegetative cover over the past 50 years. Based upon 1949 aerial photos, the Estrella River had dense vegetation lining both the right and left banks at the River Road cross-section. The Estrella had significant vegetation cover downstream and upstream of North River Road. Today, much of that vegetation is gone, with only an occasional willow. Portions of the historical channel downstream of North River Road have been converted to agricultural uses. Untike the Salinas, San Antonio and Nacimiento Rivers, the Estrella was not known as a major steelhead stream. As with other east valley streams, in the past, there may bave been occasional steelhead in the Estrella. Today, the Estrella River has no known remaining steelhead. It's major influence on steelhead habitat is its impact on sediment production. The lower reaches of the Salinas River have excessive sediment. During storm flows, the Estrella has significant levels of suspended sediment. Concentrations of suspended sediment are much higher in the Estrella than in the main chamel of the Salinas River.

The Estrella River channel is experiencing numerous areas of serious bank erosion. Some of this erosion is due to the loss of channel riparian vegetation. Suspended sediment in the Estrella is very high. During large rainstorms, sediment levels of over 90 cubic centimeters per 1000 milliliters have been recorded. The Estrella may be a major cause of excessive sediment in the Salinas River. (Refer to the Estrella Cross-Section at the end of this report)

## "F" Type Channel Characteristics



Landforms This type of unstable channel was observed at the 13th Street survey location of the Salinas River.

Bed Materials The majority of channel materials observed in the surveyed reach are made up of sands (F5), gravels (F4) and some cobbles (F3).

Slope (Range) 0 to 2 percent Entrenchment Ratio Less than 1.4:1
Width/Depth More than 12:1 Sinuosity More than 1.4:1 Ratio

Unstable "F" Type Channel Typical of Areas of Salinas River Surveyed

## C. Historical Changes in Channels, Vegetation and Stream Flow

Some of the channels surveyed appear to be severely degrading, that is, the channel bottoms are rapidly becoming lower. This is evident on the lower reaches of Atascadero Creek and along the Salinas River in Paso Robles. The following diagram indicates the probable stream channel sections on Atascadero Creek at 5,300 feet from the confluence. The projections of these sections are based upon field survey data and criteria of bankfull and twice-bankfull flows. Flood waters at twicebankfull levels generally escaped the deeper portions of the channels, allowing the extreme forces associated with flood flows to be spread over a wide plain. This spreading action permits the flood water velocities to slow significantly and limit their erosive action. In addition to increased erosion, entrenched channels in the Salinas Watershed also have other negative consequences. When channels become entrenched, downstream flooding becomes more frequent, since the flood waters reach the lower reaches of the watershed much faster and with greater force.

The accompanying cross-section for 5,300 indicates that twice-bankfull flows are now 15 feet lower than the adjacent terraces, which greatly increases the likelihood for channel erosion. Then, as the channel erodes, it becomes even more entrenched, further increasing the rate of erosion. The condition is exacerbated by construction of streets, parking lots and buildings in close proximity to
the bank edge. The channel will continue to broaden as Atascadero Creek tries to regain a new floodable terrace at a lower elevation. Hardening of the channel banks with concrete or similar hard surfaces tends to increase flow velocities even more, greatly increasing the potential for erosion on opposite banks.

The following diagram generally illustrates the progression of what has been occurring in many of the Upper Salinas River Watershed channels:


Site Location of Cross-Section: 350 feet southwest of West Mall Bridge

Observers that we have interviewed describe the creeks as having less flow and higher temperatures today than 50 years ago. This may be a major cause in the decline of steethead in the region. An analysis of historical photographs show a gradual decline in channel vegetation. In many areas along the Salinas River, the vegetation is only 10 to 20 percent of the historical coverage. The decline is also noticeable on tributaries, but generally not to the same extreme as exhibited on the main channel of the Salinas. Substantial decline in vegetative cover are also noticeable on Huerhuero Creek and Estrella River and to a lesser extent on western channels such as Atascadero and Paso Robles Creeks. One cause of this decline may be the reduction of surface and subsurface water.

Vegetation within the channel has a significant impact on habitat condition and the stability of the channel. Loss of riparian vegetation and increases in channel cross section length increase the water surface exposed to the sunlight, and consequently increase the water temperature (Bauer \& Burton, 1993). Also, the sparse vegetation on the bank channel contributes to increased stream temperature, water evaporation, and less shade is provided for the steelhead population.

The riparian vegetation communities have an ecological importance. The root system growing on stream banks helps hold soil in place, and reduces erosion problems. The stalks and branches provide refuge for insects and macroinvertebrates, and nesting places for varied populations of birds. The leaves are an energy source, providing oxygen to the environment and food for animals that live in this vegetation. Also, the riparian vegetation shapes the rivers and stream corridors, providing shade for the aquatic animals and plants in these ecosystems.

The following photo vegetation comparisons were conducted of two reaches on the Salinas River. The first location is a reach of the Salinas River at the northeast edge of the City of Atascadero. The 1949 photo of this reach indicates a channel vegetation coverage of 90 percent. By 1978, the channel riparian vegetation cover had decreased to 15 percent for the same reach. The second aerial photo comparison is of the Salinas River within the City of Paso Robles, south of the 13th Street bridge. The 1949 aerial photo of the Salinas River in Paso Robles shows riparian vegetation covering 75 percent of the channel. By 1978, the channel vegetation of this reach had dwindled to 20 percent. Also note that both the 1949 and the 1978 photos show a small tributary that met the Salinas River about where Walmart is located today. The riparian vegetation in that tributary was dense in 1949. In the 1978 infrared photo, much of the riparian vegetation was gone. That tributary was later placed in a concrete culvert under the Walmart parking lot.


Salinas River Vegetation Comparison Study

## Sallinas River Vegetation Comparison Study



1949 Aeriall Photo


1978 Infrared Aerial Photo

Salinas River Near Paso Robles

## D. Possible Causes for Reduced Stream Flow

Undoubtedly, the cause of reduced stream flows is due to several factors, including the following:
$\checkmark$ Poor ground coverage with vegetation. When there is less ground coverage with vegetation, there is increased runoff during storms and less infiltration of water into the ground. Good ground coverage can result in as much as 90 percent of the water infiltrating into the soil. If there is poor coverage, infiltration can be as little as 40 to 50 percent. This results in less water reaching the groundwater table and more runoff during storms.
$\checkmark$ Increase in impermeable surfaces, such as paving and buildings. Paved surfaces and buildings result in increased runoff during storms and less infilitration of water into the ground. Many streets, parking lots, driveways and buildings have been constructed within the urban areas of Atascadero, Paso Robles and Templeton over the past 50 years. For example, during stream sampling in the City of Atascadero, stream flows and sediment levels were significantly higher within the urban area than from the rural uplands. This was especially evident during the early storms of the rainy season. Water that normally would soak into the ground, runs off streets, driveways and rooftops draining quickly into the stream channels.
$\sqrt{ }$ Construction of dams on stream channels. According to local historians, the greatest reduction in steelhead was around the time of the construction of the Nacimiento Dam and Reservoir. According to these historians, prior to construction of the dam, the Nacimiento River had one of the largest populations of steelhead. The dam prevented steelhead from reaching spawning areas up the Nacimiento and its many tributaries.

While less steelhead may have historically migrated up the Salinas River to the spawning areas near Pozo, the construction of the Salinas Dam also contributed to the decline of steelhead. In addition to becoming a barrier to steelhead migration, another impact of the Salinas Dam is the effect on flows down the Salinas River. The dam controls and diverts flows. It also traps natural sediment movement, affecting the health of the river below the dam.

Using USGS gaging station data for the flows below and above the dam for the period between 1943 and 1982, the median annual flow above the dam was approximately $385,382,534$ cubic feet per year. During that same period, the median annual flow below the dam was $95,554,080$ cubic feet. The reduction, $289,828,454$ cubic feet, was stream flow that historically would have nourished channel vegetation north of the dam and provided a means for steelhead to travel up and downstream between Monterey Bay and spawning areas high in Salinas tributaries.


[^0]Data Period: 1043 though
$\sqrt{ }$ Groundwater extraction for urban and agricultural uses. The cities in the Upper Salinas Valley have grown significantly over the past 50 years. Those communities must supply more water to meet the needs of their residents and businesses. Groundwater extraction near rivers and streams can create a trough in the subsurface water surface level, severely affecting the ability of plants to obtain needed moisture. The County of San Luis Obispo is currently studying the Paso Robles groundwater basin.

Agriculture has seen a gradual transition from cattle ranches that traditionally used little water, to vineyards and other farm crops that require irrigation. As with urban wells, groundwater extraction near streams for agriculture can create troughs or depressions that will affect riparian vegetation.
$\sqrt{ }$ Climatic changes. While climate may impact the vegetation along the Salinas River, it probably plays a smaller role than the factors listed above. The Upper Salinas area is made up of many smaller climatic regions. The western range has rainfall averages of up to 50 inches per year. The Salinas valley has rainfall averages closer to 15 inches per year. The eastern Estrella region has rainfall averages of less than 10 inches per year, with the exception of the Parkfield area, which averages about 15 to 16 inches per year.

Based upon rainfall records for the City of Atascadero, the average annual rainfall for the past 85 years has been 17.8 inches. Perhaps median rainfall is a more important measurement, since averages include the extremely high rainfalls that occur only occasionally. The median rainfall is 16.03 inches per year.

Weather pattern shift from year to year. During the past 100 years, rainfall has varied from wet to very dry periods. Floods are not uncommon. At other times, the region has suffered from serious droughts. The first recorded drought was soon after the arrival of the missionary Junipero Serra in 1771. Irrigation ditches were built at the San Antonio Mission near the San Antonio River to help ward off the problems associated with that drought. Another serious drought occurred around 1830 (Fisher). Droughts would often be followed by floods, then more droughts, then floods again. Some floods in the 1800's were described as being over two miles wide. A serious flood occurred in 1862. According to Anne B. Fisher, 30 inched fell in a short time. In 1863 and again in 1898, the Salinas Valley experienced other serious droughts.

Since 1900, the Salinas Valley has continued to experience periods of drought interspersed with brief periods flooding. For example, during the period from 1923 to 1933 , there were 7 years of with less than the median rainfall and only 4 years with median or greater. Beginning in 1934 through 1943, there were 9 years of greater than median rainfall and only 1 year with less than median. Then, during the period from 1944 to 1960, there were 13 years with less than the median rainfall and only 4 years with greater than the median.

The end of the 20 th century was a slightly more wet period, with 8 of the last 10 years having greater then median rainfall. If the past trends continue, the region could be in for several years of lower than median rainfall.

The following two graphs describe the rainfall recorded in Atascadero over the past 85 years. The first graph shows the flow in chronological order beginning in 1915-16. The rainfall season is from July 1 to June 30. There have been ten years with 10 or less inches of rainfall. Seasons with 10 inches or less occurred in 1923-24, 1938-39, 1958-59, 196061, 1963-64, 1970-71, 1975-76, 1986-87, 1989-90, and 1993-94. There have been 12 years with 26 inches or more rainfall. Seasons with 26 inches or greater occurred in 194041, 1942-43, 1951-52, 1957-58, 1966-67, 1968-69, 1977-78, 1979-80, 1982-83, 199293, 1994-95, and 1997-98.

The second graph is distributed by rainfall amount beginning with the lowest years to the highest years. That graph shows that rainfall is a curve rather than a straight line relationship.



## RAINFALL YEAR

Rainfall Season: July 1 to June 30
ATASCADERO RAINFALL RECORDS
85 Years: Rainfall Seasons: $1915-1916$ to
85 Years: Rainfall Seasons: 1915-1916 to 1999-2000
Raintall Data Source: Atascadero Mutual Water Company
Graph prepared by US-LT RCD


High flows along the Salinas River during winter at the San Miguel bridge


The Estrella River during a storm at the North River Road crossing. The Estrella carries significant amounts of suspended sediments during storm flows.

## CHAPTER IV Recommendations

## IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

While steelhead populations in the Salinas River and tributaries have dwindled, this study indicates that there are still steelhead in a number of streams in the region. In particular, Steelbead remain in Santa Margarita Creek, Tassajara Creek, Atascadero Creek, Paso Robles Creek and Jack Creek. In order to improve the conditions for Steelhead, a list of proposed actions has been prepared. These actions were derived from the deliberations and input received from the Upper Salinas Watershed Task Force and Technical Advisory Committee.

The list of proposed actions are contained on the table at the end of this chapter. The table contains a summary of recommended actions for possible Department of Fish and Game SB 271 funding. Other actions involving additional upland planning measures are being prepared by the RCD. The funding to implement these upland actions will be from sources other than SB 271 funds.


Removing fish barriers will help steelhead to access spawning areas


Prevent erosion from roads that result in sediment in streams


Creek clogged with sediment from soil erosion
caused by road in photo above


The public learns about importance of clean water and protecting habitat at a Watershed Educational Fair


Beneficial Management Practices Education Program for Ranchers and Farmers


Oil pollution from streets and parking lots drains into creeks


Urban pollution harms animals and vegetation in creeks


Buildings constructed close to creeks create unsafe conditions


## Soil eroded from roads creates

 sediment in streamsSTATE DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME - EARLY ACTION LIST
UPPER SALINAS - LAS TABLAS RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT

| \# | PROPOSED ACTION DESCRIPTION | LOCATION OF ACTIONS | benefits of ACTIONS | Steelhead PRIORITY | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LEAD AGENGYI } \\ \text { GROUP } \end{array}$ | COOPERATING AGENCY \& GROUP | APPROXIMATE TIMELINE | PROPOSED FUNDING SOURCE | PERMITING Agencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Conduct habitat typing on streams, following criteria of the Califormia Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual, to identify prime steelhead sites within the Salinas River Watershed including historical sites to identify habitat improvement. Prepare report an survey. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaries 2. Paso Robies Creek \& tributarie 3. Nacimiento River \& tributaries 4. Salinas River \& tributaries 5. Santa Margarita Craek \& tributaries | This action will provide the information necessary to determine the suitable areas for Sieelhead habitat improvements, Steelhead migration and spawning and locations that can be restored by California Salmonid Stream Habiliat Restoration Manual. This action also will be the baseline for implementing projects that will restore and enhance the Steelhead habitat in the Upper Salinnas Watershed. | 1st Priority HIGH | US-LT RCD | CCC F\&G, NRCS Central Coast Salmon Enhancement RC\&D. | 3 Years. 3-6 months for contacts. 24-30 months for for report. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{FRG}, \mathrm{SB}(271) \text { and } \\ & \text { Private Foundations. } \end{aligned}$ | No pernits, <br> Landowners <br> permission orly |
| 2 | Identify and assess fish passage barriers, in order to do a barrier modification and removal projects. | 1. Atascadero Creak (Curbaril sewer line at Atascadero road crossing: swimming hole corrections). dden Valley Ranch on Paso Robles Creek) <br> 3. Nacimiento River <br> 4. Salinas River | Improve the Steelhead ability to reach the spawning areas | HIGH | Landowner/US-LT RCD | FRG, NRCS, RCED, CCC, NMFS. | 2 Years each. | F\&G, NOAA, Private Pacific Coast Recover Foundation. SB(27), EQQIP WHiP, Private Foundations. | No permits, Landowners permission only |


| \# | PROPOSED ACTION DESCRIPTION | LOCATION OF ACTIONS | BENEFITS OF ACTIONS | STEELHEAD PRIORITY | LEAD AGENCY/ GROUP | COOPERATING AGENCY \& GROUP | APPROXIMATE TIMELINE | PROPOSED FUNDING SOURCE | PERMITTING AGENCIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Identify and pursue enhancement of reaches on 5 streams that would benefit from additionar habitat enhancement. Projects recommended by California Salmonid Streame Habitat Restoration Manual include: Revegetation, bank stabilization, digger log, rock structures, and control of non-native plants in channels. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaries <br> 2. Paso Robles Creek \& tributaries <br> 3. Nacimiento River \& tributaries <br> 4. Salinas River \& tributaries <br> 5. Santa Margarita Creek \& tributaries | Improve the Steelhead habitat conditions for migration; spawning and life cycle development in 5 locations. The enhancernent locations will serve as environmental model for other creeks into the Upper Salinas Watershed. | HIGH | CCCIUS-LTRCD | Farm Bureau, CCC, Atascadero City Council, Paso Robles City Council, Santa Margarita Community, Schools, F\&G, NRCS, US-LT RCD, RC\&D, NMFS. | 2-4 Years | F\&G, SB(271), WHIP, EQIP, NRCS, Private Foundations. | Landowners. F\&G, RWQCB, County of San Luis Obispo, Army Corps. |
| 4 | improve drainage system on existing roads near streams to diminish sediment discharge on streams, and decommission roads along the creeks. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaries <br> 2. Paso Robles Creek \& tributaries <br> 3. Nacimiento River \& tributaries <br> 4. Salinas River \& tributaries <br> 5. Santa Margarita Creek \& tributaries | Clean the water to ayoid Steelhead suffacation by suspended sediments trapped on the gills. | HIGH | US-LT RCD | F\&G, Farm Bureau, SWRCB, NRCS, CCC | 2 Years | SB(271), WHIP: EQ\|P, F\&G, NRCS | Landowners and County of San Luis Obispo for all projects. F\&G, RWQCB, and Army Corps for streams crossings. |
| 5 | In riparian buffer areas, improve riparian vegetation coverage, and restore wettands. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaties <br> 2. Paso Robles Creek \& tributaries <br> 3. Nacimiento River \& tributaries <br> 4. Salinas River \& tributaries <br> 5. Santa Margarita Creek \& tributaries | Good water quality and optimal temperature will benefit the Steelhead spawning areas, the fry development, and the increase of their populations into the Upper Salinas Watershed. Also reduces sedimentation in ereeks. | HISH | US-LT RCD | F\&G, CCC, NRCS, RWQCB. | 2 Years | SB(271), WHIP. EQIP, F\&G, NRCS, and Urban Streams Grant. | F\&G |
| 6 | Encourage use of buffers in vineyards, orchids, and managed cattle access within riparian areas. Use examples of good land use techniques (including creek setbacks) to show how to solve problems. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaries <br> 2. Paso Robles Creek \& tributartes <br> 3. Nacimiento River \& tributaries <br> 4. Salinas River \& tributaries <br> 5. Santa Margarita Creek \& tributaries | With clean water in the creeks, the Steelhead habitat will be improved for spawning and fry survival. The examples of good land use techniques will be an extension modet for several ranches into the Upper Salinas Watershed. | HIGH | US-LT RCD and Central Coast Vineyard Team. | F\&G, Farm Bureau, NRCS, CCC. | 2 Years | WHP, EQIP, F\&G, SB(272), NRCS Private Foundations. | F\&G, Army Corp. |


| \# | PROPOSED ACTION DESCRIPTION | LOCATION OF ACTIONS | BENEFITS OF ACTIONS | STEELHEAD PRIORITY | LEAD Agency group | cooperating AGENCY \& GROUP | APPROXIMATE TIMELINE | PROPOSED FUNDING SOURCE | PERMITHNG agencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Identify and implement high visibillty for stream restoration project in urban area. For example: Restoration of channe! along Atascadero School Districi site. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaries <br> 2. Salinas River \& tributaries | Restoration project could be a paired study to evaluate the benefits of the project, and present the data for convines and involve local agencies. | $\underset{\substack{\text { MEDIUM TO }}}{ }$ | US-LTRCD | F2G, CCC, NRCS, RWace. | 3 Years to complete | SB(271), Whilp, F\&G and NRCS, Urban Streams Grant. | Landowners, F\&G, RWQCB County of San Luis Obispo, Army Cops. |
| ${ }^{8}$ | Limit offroad vehicle use within stream channels Many landowners want these people off their land, there is a teal problem with illegal trespassing. | 1. Atascadero Creek \& tributaries 2. Paso Robles Creek \& tributaries 3. Nacimiento River \& tributaries 4. Salinas River \& tributartes 5. Santa Margarita Creek \& tributaries | use, the habitat for Steelhead will be protected. | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIUM TO } \\ \text { HIGH } \end{gathered}$ | US-LT RCD | F\&G, Sheriff Department, cCC Atascadero City City Counci:. Templeton Community. | 2 Years | WHIP, SB(271), F\&G, NRCS, and Urban Streams Grant. | Landowners, County of San Luis Obispo |
|  | Conduct a community outreach program regarding the value of maintaining fisheries. Show the benefits of programs to help fish populations, urban area articles, quarterly newsletter, press releases and videos, concerning to the Salinas Watershed he salinas Waterstee benefils - needs. Promote short courses, workshops, student poster contest and create a mascot. | Watershed wide. | Help the public to better understand the need for improving Steelhead population. Generate support for actions that benefit Steelhead habitats. Build trust in the programs sponsors, in the agencies involved and between watershed stakeholders. The public will be come better stewards of their erivironment. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIUM TO } \\ & \text { HIGH } \end{aligned}$ | LSSLT RCD | F\&G, NRCS Schools, non-profit organizations, Anglers groups. CAL POLY, Mr. Harold Franklin, Dr. Dan Krieger and Historical Society. CCC, RWQCB, MENMS, UC Cooperative Bureau, RC\&D, local media. | Yearly, start in 1 Year | SB(271), EQIP, Private Foundations MENMS. May be "CAL-POLY", NRCS, F\&G, RC\&D. | No |
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APPENDEX A
Channel Sections and Data

## APPENDIXA

## Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Diagrams

The study of the Salinas River and tributaries has included detailed surveys of the channel morphology. Both longitudinal and cross-sectional surveys have been conducted. Longitudinal sections follow along the thalweg of the stream. The thalweg is the lowest point of the channel. The sectional diagrams for longitudinal data are taken from the thalweg data for each stream.

Cross-sections are taken a various points along the channel and are measured at perpendicular to the thalweg. Longitudinal diagrams indicate the locations of riffles and pools. Since the data is difficult to interpret if portrayed at a one-to-one ratio, vertical to horizontal scale, the vertical scale in each of the diagrams has been purposely exaggerated. This allows the evaluator to more clearly see where pools exist, and define their length and depth more easily.

The data spreadsheets corresponding to each graph have also been attached.

Atascadero Creek Channel Diagrams and Spreadsheets
Reach 0-1,800 feet from confluence with Salinas River
... wooknation woul the ...ascinuo
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Creek Stabilization Project
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| 219 | 798.15 | 799.9 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{7.58}$ | ${ }_{6}^{604}$ | 804,04 | 41.69 |  |  | Cotomod but |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{799.98}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.84}$ | ${ }_{5.91}^{59 .}$ |  |  | ${ }_{80,53} 8$ |  |  |  |  |
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| 31.371 | 79929 | -0028 | ${ }_{6}^{6.41}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5.45}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{379}$ | ${ }^{7989.62}$ | ${ }^{80030}$ 8031 | ${ }_{5.79}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 423 | ${ }^{7993}$ |  | 6.42 | ${ }_{5.33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (tas | 79.90 | 800.53 |  |  |  | 14.12 | (eas, |  | Witar sime, Contoral |  |  |
| ${ }_{5}^{500}$ | ${ }_{\text {7 }}^{79068}$ | ${ }^{800.52} 8$ | ${ }_{5.5}^{605}$ | 4,99 |  |  | 805.73 |  |  |  |  |
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Atascadero Creek STREAMCHANNEL PROPOSED
PROUET REHABLITATINN

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  | Atascadero Creek Cross-Section 500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Distance | Ground | Reading | Bkf | Bench | BM | Level | BM |  |
| 4 | from Left BM | Elev. | Section |  | Mark | Reading | Elev. | BS |  |
| 5 | -150 | 813.72 | 0.91 |  |  |  | 814.63 |  | Bank of Upper Left Terrace |
| 6 | -138 | 811.95 | 2.68 |  |  |  | 814.63 |  | Left Middle Terrace |
| 7 | $-100$ | 812.47 | 2.16 |  | 810.51 | 4.12 | 814.63 |  | Wilson Survey, Control on Left bank, n-west of walnut tree |
| 8 | 0 | 810.71 | 3.92 |  | 810.87 | 3.76 | 814.63 |  | Left BM, Plastic Pipe 11 ft . so. of walnut tree |
| 9 | 19 | 809.99 | 4.64 |  |  |  | 814.63 |  |  |
| 10 | 31 | 801.81 | 3.92 |  | 804.04 | 1.69 | 805.73 | 10.59 | Cottonwood burl TBM: 804.04 |
| 11 | 39 | 802.25 | 3.48 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  |  |
| 12 | 39.5 | 801.79 | 3.94 | Bkf |  |  | 805.73 |  | Atas. Creek Watershed: 19.8 sq. miles |
| 13 | 40 | 800.47 | 5.26 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | Bkf depth: 2.15, Bkf width, gross: 114 ft . |
| 14 | 42 | 799.77 | 5.96 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | $2 \times$ Bkf depth: $4.30,2 \times$ Bkf width, 140 ft . |
| 15 | 51 | 800.53 | 5.2 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | X-Sectional Area, Bkf: 125 sq . ft. |
| 16 | 75 | 801.59 | 4.14 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | Bkf Flow est. at $6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}: 750$ cubic $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| 17 | 100 | 801.28 | 4.45 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | Bkf Flow est, from typ. watershed*: 772 cubic ft./sec |
| 18 | 127 | 800.70 | 5.03 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | WD Ratio: 53 (Extremely high WD ratio) |
| 19 | 132 | 799.98 | 5.75 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | Entrenchment Ratio: 1.23 (Highly entrenched) |
| 20 | 139 | 799.39 | 6.34 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  | Sinuosity Ratio: 1565/1400: 1.12 (Low Sinuosity) |
| 21 | 147 | 800.00 | 5.73 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  |  |
| 22 | 153 | 800.95 | 4.78 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  |  |
| 23 | 154 | 801.90 | 3.83 | BkF |  |  | 805.73 |  |  |
| 24 | 168 | 802.89 | 2.84 |  |  |  | 805.73 |  |  |
| 25 | 187 | 811.50 | 3.13 |  | 812.04 | 2.59 | 814.63 |  | Right Plastic BM: 112.04 |
| 26 | 197 | 806.83 | 7.8 |  |  |  | 814.63 |  | Right Terrace (located between Salinas R. and Atas, Cr.) |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | * Salsipuedes Creek Watershed Gage Data Average for $14 \mathrm{yrs}$.59 cu . $\mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{sg} . \mathrm{mi}$. (return of 1.5 yrs . on average) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | This figure is believed to be high; Atas. Cr. Watershed anticipated to recelve $2 / 3$ flow of Salsipuedes Cr. Watershed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Atas. Creek est. Bkf flow of $39 \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{sq}$. mi. since this area typlcally receives less rainfall than Pozo area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



$$
\text { Spring } 1998 \text { Survey }
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Atascadero Creek } \\
\text { STREAM CHANNEL } \\
\text { MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS }
\end{gathered}
$$ Cross-Section Atascadero Creek

800 Feet from Confluence of Salinas River
Spring 1998 Survey
in wooperation wrin the mlaSCauero Kueduos גәңem jeminn/

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | Atascadero Creek Cross-Section 800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Distance | Ground | Reading | Bkf | Bench Mark | BM | Level | BM |  |  |  |
| 4 | from Left BM. | Elev. | Section |  |  | Reading | Elev. | BS |  |  |  |
| 5 | -60 | 819.41 | -2 |  |  |  | g17.41 |  | Elev. Left Upper Terrace $\pm$ |  |  |
| 6 | -50 | 814.41 | 3 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0 | 811.09 | 6.32 |  | 811.46 | 5.95 | 817.41 |  | Top of plastic BM near fence post. |  |  |
| 8 | 15 | 810.74 | 6.67 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  | Top ofplor | fenc |  |
| 9 | 28 | 811.83 | 5.58 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 44 | 816.99 | 0.42 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 76 | 807.95 | 9.46 |  | 815.72 | 1.69 | 817.47 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 81 | 805.38 | 12.03 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 100 | 804.56 | 2.16 |  | 804.71 | 12.7 | 806.72 |  | Top of plastic BM@ 100 ft |  |  |
| 14 | 124 | 804.83 | 1.89 |  |  |  | 806.72 | 2.68 | Cottonwood burl TBM: 804,04 |  |  |
| 15 | 127 | 804.20 | 2.52 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  | $806.30=$ metal stake elev. (Reading 0.42) |  |  |
| 16 | 140 | 804.13 | 2.59 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  | $806.30+11.11$ reading $=817.41$ elev. level |  |  |
| 17 | 152 | 803.69 | 3.03 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 157 | 802.18 | 4.54 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 166 | 802.07 | 4.65 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 177 | 802.53 | 4.19 | bkf |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 180 | 801.38 | 5.34 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 184 | 800.51 | 6.21 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 198 | 801.38 | 5.34 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 224 | 801.83 | 4.89 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 242 | 801.45 | 5.27 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 245 | 801.76 | 5.56 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 250 | 807.45 | 5.27 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 252 | 802.52 | 4.2 | bkf |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 257 | 804.59 | 2.13 |  |  |  | 806.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 262 | 811.70 | 5.71 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 273 | 812.08 | 5.33 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 281 | 809.81 | 7.6 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 291 | 810.00 | 7.41 |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 300 | 813.47 | 3.94 |  | 814.01 | 3.4 | 817.41 |  | Right Plastic BM: 814.01 |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 817.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ； |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | $\infty$ <br> 8 <br> © <br> 言 | 4 2 8 8 6 6 <br> 产 <br> ＋ <br> 둥 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & +1 \\ & d \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{6}{6} \\ & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \frac{\Omega}{9} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － |  |  | ， |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Grourad-elevatio |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm$ |  |  | $\sum_{\infty}$ | $\cdots$ | $m$ $m$ | N | Co |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \mathbb{Q} \end{aligned}$ | 交 ${ }_{0}$ | ¢ 0 $\infty$ | ＋ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ | 4 ${ }_{0}^{+}$ | N 0 $\infty$ $\infty$ | N N $\sim$ $\infty$ $\infty$ | N $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | N $\sim$ $\sim$ $\infty$ | $N$ 0 $n$ $\infty$ $\infty$ | N 4 c c | N ¢ ¢ $\infty$ | N 1 1 0 $\infty$ $\infty$ | $N$ $N$ 0 $\infty$ | $N$ $N$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | N $1 \sim$ 10 $\sim$ $\infty$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{c} \\ & \boldsymbol{C D} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{w} \\ & \boldsymbol{c} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{1} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | － <br> － <br> 5 <br> ¢ <br> 0 |  | $\sum_{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Di } \\ & \overline{6} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ln \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathbf{o} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ $\sim$ |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ILf |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{2} \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 0 <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 8 |  | $\frac{4}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{W} \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | 为 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | \％ | $\frac{n}{n}$ | － | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{oj} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{No} \\ & \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & \sqrt{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | un | d | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{D}^{\prime} \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | O <br>  <br> $N$ | W | ＋ ＋ ＋ | $\infty$ $\infty$ | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\infty$ |  |  | $\square$ $\frac{5}{2}$ $\frac{6}{6}$ | － |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 . \\ & \text { + } \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \boldsymbol{m} \\ & \boldsymbol{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & t, 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | N m $\infty$ $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 8 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \mathrm{Co} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{4}{8} \\ & 8 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & n \\ & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Psi \\ & N \\ & N \\ & N \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | Ln | 号 | \％ | 8 |  | $0$ | $\omega$ | 它 | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $0$ | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | \％ | Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\pm$ | \＄ | $m$ | ＊ | 4 | 6 | $N$ | $\infty$ | 0） | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | － | － 5 | 4 | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | $\infty$ | O | S | － | N | $\mathrm{C}$ | － | N | $0$ | N | ${ }_{\sim}^{\infty}$ | \％ | m | क | N | $\cdots$ | ＋ | L\％ | $16$ | $\cdots$ |



## Atascadero Creek Channel Diagrams and Spreadsheets

 Reach 4,685-5,450 feet from confluence with Salinas River4,685-5,450 Feet (approx.) Upstream from Confluence with Sallinas River



|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Atascaclero Creek Longitudinal Morphological Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | GENERAL LOCATION: |  |  | 4,685 to 5,450 feet upstream from confluence with Salinas River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  | Downstrean | and ups | m of 1 | oric Hw | 41 Brid |  |  |
| 5 | BASIS FOR BEGINNING DISTANCE: |  |  | Based on 198: Army Corps FEMA Flood Longitudinal Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | See sheet 23-A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | STUDY PREPARED FOR: |  |  | USLT-RCD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | SURVEY TEAM: |  |  | D. J. Funk, Marti Johnson |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | OTHERS ASSISTING: |  |  | CCC Crew: Paul Corsi \& Stacy Smith; Data Entry and Graphing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | DATE (S) OF SURVEY: |  |  | January 3-4, 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | GENERAL: | All distances in ft, Beginning elevation estimated from 1986 City aerial photo/topographic maps. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | Benchmark elevation of 826.78 ft (orange dot on boulder, Rt bank) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | At distance 4830 Bkt edge of Historic Hwy 41 Bridge estimated at 4,950 feet from confluence with Salinas River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | B.M. pins are estimated: View orientation: downstream. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TERMS: | B.M. $=$ Bench Mark, T.W. $=$ Thalweg, W.F. = Water Surface, Bkf $=$ Bankfull, B.P. $=$ Bank Pin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Distarce | Thalweg | Water | Reading | Reading | BM | Level |  |  |  |
| 19 | Salinas R. | Eliev. | Surface | TW | WS |  | Elev. | Notes |  |  |
| 20 | 4685 | 821.77 | 822.18 | 10.90 | 10.49 |  | 832.67 | Active flow 16 ft wide |  |  |
| 21 | 4692 | 821.67 | 822.23 | 11.00 | 10.44 |  | 832.57 | Active flow 18 ft wide |  |  |
| 22 | 4719 | 820.96 | 822.29 | 11.71 | 10.38 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 4738 | 821.30 | 822.29 | 11.37 | 10.38 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 4750 | 821.48 | 822.29 | 11.19 | 10.38 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 4771 | 821.50 | 822.30 | 11.17 | 10.37 |  | 832.67 | Active f |  |  |
| 26 | 4781 | 821.75 | 822.30 | 10.92 | 10.37 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 4821 | 820.54 | 822.31 | 12.13 | 10.36 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 4830 | 821.43 | 822.39 | 11.24 | 10.28 |  | 832.67 | Active flo | Bk | hoto) |
| 29 | 4850 | 822.27 | 822.51 | 10.40 | 10.16 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 4870 | 822.36 | 822.57 | 10.31 | 10.10 |  | 832.67 | Active flow |  |  |
| 31 | 4889 | 822.15 | 822.58 | 10.52 | 10.09 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 4915 | 821.71 | 822.58 | 10.96 | 10.09 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 4934 | 821.58 | 822.58 | 11.09 | 10.09 |  | 832.67 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 4945 | 821.61 | 822.58 | 11.06 | 10.09 |  | 832.67 | Under b | ,sil | bble |
| 35 | 4950 | 822.23 | 822.64 | 15.46 | 15.05 | 826.78 | 837.69 | At bridg | ers | egin pool |
| 36 | 4959 | 822.40 | 822.70 | 15.29 | 14.99 |  | 837.69 |  | adi | 5.89 |

1/03-04/01 Atascadero Creek Longitudinal Profle: 4,685
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Cross-Section Atascadero Creek
January 2001 Survey

Data from 01/25/01 Distance 4,950 ft. from
Atascadero Creek

Resource Conservation District

01/24/01 Axascadero Creek X-Section: 4,950 feet from confluence w/Salinas River (Book 3)


01/24/01 Atascadero Creek X-Section: 4,950 feet from confluence w/ Salinas River (Book 3)
Assisted by AmeriCorps
and California Conservation Corps
Data from 01/03/02
View Looking
Downstream

## Cross-Section Atascadero Creek <br> 350 Feet Upstream from Old 41 Bridge January 2002 Survey



Distance 5,300 ft. from
Salinas R. confluence
Atascadero Creek

View Looking
Downstream Cross-Section Atascadero Creek
350 Feet Upstream from Old 41 Bridge
February 2001 Survey
Assisted by AmeriCorps and Calfornia Conservation Corps
Data from 02/07/01

Distance $5,300 \mathrm{ft}$ from
Salinas R. confluence
Atascadero Creek
STREAM CHANNEL
MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS
Upper Salinas-Las Tablas
Resource Conservation District
Assisted by AmeriCorps
and Callfornia Conservation Corps
Data from 02/07/01 and 1/03/02
350 Feet Upstream from Old 41 Bridge
Comparison 2001 to 2002 Surveys $3 / 02$
View Looking
Downstream

Distance $5,300 \mathrm{ft}$. from Salinas R. confluence Atascadero Creek
STREAM CHANNEL
MORPHOLOGICAL ANAL
Upper Salínas-Las Tablas
Resource Conservation District






# Atascadero Creek Channel Diagrams and Spreadsheets Reach 25,000-25,326 feet from confluence with Salinas River 

Assisted by AmeriCorps
and California Conservation Corps

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | 6 | H | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Atascadero Creek Longitudinal Morphological Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | GENERAL LOCATION: |  |  | 25,000-25,326 feet upstream from confluence with Salinas River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | BASIS FOR BEGINNING DISTANCE: |  |  | Begin at H 2 O Quality Monitoring Site, westernmost of 3 Bridges on Hwy 41 west |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | STUDY PREPARED FOR: |  |  | USLT-RCD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SUAVEY TEAM: |  |  | D. J. Funk, Hillary Peterson \& Gary Johnston |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | DTHERS ASSISTING: |  |  | CCC Crew: Paul Corsi \& Stacy Smith; Data Entry and Graphing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | DATE(S) OF SURVEY: |  |  | November 3 \& 8,2000 |  |  | Rained previous day |  |  |
| 9 | GENERAL: | All distances in ft . Beginning elevation estimated from USGS map. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | Elevation ground level @ left B.M. at power pole estimated to be $960^{\circ}$ based on USGS quad map |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | Distance estirnated: 25,000 est. from confluence with Salinas River based on USGS Quad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | B.M. pins are estirnated. Vlew orientation: downstream. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | TERMS: | B.M. = Bench Mark, T.W.=Thalweg, W.F.=Water Surface, bkf=Bankfut, B.P. = Bank Pin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | Mark, T.W. $=$ | Thalweg, |  |  |  | Comments |  |
| 16 | Distance | Thalweg | Water | Reading | Reading | B.M. | Level |  |  |
| 17 | Sallinas R. | Elev. | Surface | TW | WS |  | Elev. | rebar bench mark pin next to power pole |  |
| 18 | 25000 | 940.48 | 940.93 | 6.04 | 5.59 | 960.36 | 946.52 | At $\times$-Section at 3rd bridge (@power pole) |  |
| 19 | 25017 | 940.56 | 940.97 | 5.96 | 5.55 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 20 | 25032 | 941.08 | 941.32 | 5.44 | 5.20 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 21 | 25036 | 940.87 | 9411.31 | 5.65 | 5.21 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 22 | 25044 | 940.89 | 941.30 | 5.63 | 5.22 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 23 | 25049 | 940.65 | 941.33 | 5.87 | 5.19 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 24 | 25054 | 941.23 | 941.37 | 5.29 | 5.15 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 25 | 25057 | 941.00 | 9411.38 | 5.52 | 5.14 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 26 | 25064 | 940.81 | 941.38 | 5.71 | 5.14 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 27 | 25067 | 940.92 | 941.39 | 5.60 | 5.13 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 28 | 25069 | 941.31 | 941.39 | 5.21 | 5.13 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 25 | 25077 | 941.53 | 941.71 | 4.99 | 4.81 |  | 946.52 | Native Shrubs on Bank |  |
| 30 | 25078 | 941.44 | 941.72 | 5.08 | 4.80 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 31 | 25080 | 941.52 | 941.73 | 5.00 | 4.79 |  | 946.5 ? |  |  |
| 32 | 25083 | 941.30 | 941.74 | 5.22 | 4.78 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 33 | 25088 | 941.60 | 941.78 | 4.92 | 4.74 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 34 | 25095 | 940.52 | 941.81 | 6.00 | 4.71 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 35 | 25100 | 940.94 | 941.81 | 5.58 | 4.71 |  | 946.52 |  |  |
| 36 | 25102 | 940.95 | 941.78 | 7.98 | 7.15 |  | 948.93 |  |  |
| 37 | 25114 | 940.01 | 941.80 | 8.92 | 7.13 |  | 948.93 |  |  |



4



1RCD

Assisted by AmeriCorps
25,000 Feet From Confluence of Salinas River October 2000 Survey
Cross-Section Atascadero Creek View Looking
Downstream
 and California Conservation Corps North side of Bridge
960.00 _ Left-BM/Power Pole 959.00
 957.00 41 Bridge Bottom of Drainage pipe $\rightarrow 0.954 .11$ outlet (right BMI)







View Looking
Downstream Cross-Section Atascadero Creek
25,300 Feet from Confluence of Salinas River
November 2000 Survey

Assisted by AmeriCorps
and California Conservation Corps
Data from 11/08/00 Survey Prepared for State Department of Fish and Game State Water Resources Control Bd.


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | ATASCADERO CREEK CROSS SECTION 25,300 west of Salinas R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | GENERAL LOCATION: |  |  |  | 25,300 feet from confluence with Salinas River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | X-SECTION LOCATION: |  |  |  | 300 feet west of 3 Bridges, along Highway 41 west |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | STUDY PREPARED FOR: |  |  |  | USLT-RCD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SURVEY TEAM: |  |  |  | D. J. Funk, Hillary Peterson \& Gary Johnston |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | OTHERS ASSISTING: |  |  |  | CCC Crew: Paul Corsi \& Stacy Smith; Data Entry and Graphing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | DATE(S) OF SURVEY: |  |  |  | 11/08/00 |  | Clear weather |  |  |  |
| 9 | GENERAL: All distances in ft. |  |  | Beginn | ing elevat | ion est | mated fro | USG | map. |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Distance estimated: 25,300 est, from confluence with Salinas River based on USGS Quad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | X-SECTION INFO.: |  |  |  | Beginning distance measured from left B.M. to right B.M. Points beyond |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | B.M. pirs are estimated. View orientation: downstream. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | TERMS: $\quad$ B.M $=$ = Bench Mark, T.W. Thalweg, W.F. = Water Surface, bkf $=$ Bankfull, B.P. $=$ Bank Pin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | ENTRENCHMENT RATIO: $63 / 34=1.85<1.4=$ Entrenched (A, G \& F Stream types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1.4-2.2 = Mod. Entrenched ( $B$ Streams) $>2.2=$ Slightly Entrenched ( $E$ \& C Streams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Distance | Ground | Reading | Bkf | Bench | BM | Level | BS | Comments |  |
| 19 | Left BM. | Elev. | Section |  | Mark Reading Elev. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Two BM rebar pins, both right and left banks |  |
| 21 | 0.0 | 951.24 | 0.21 |  | 951.69 | -0.24 | 951.45 |  | Bench Mark left bank elev.: 951.69 |  |
| 22 | 1.6 | 950.62 | 0.83 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Left bank, steep fill slope from roadway, Hwy 41 |  |
| 23 | 3.0 | 948.90 | 2.55 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 8.7 | 946.22 | 5.23 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Estimated bankfull elevation 947.00 |  |
| 25 | 10.0 | 94770 | 3.75 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Small boulder next to stream flow |  |
| 26 | 11.6 | 944.41 | 7.04 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Water surface, $11 / 8 / 00$ |  |
| 27 | 12.7 | 944.00 | 7.45 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 14.0 | 944.28 | 7.17 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Small boulder in stream |  |
| 29 | 14.2 | 943.65 | 7.80 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Thalweg |  |
| 30 | 14.5 | 944.09 | 7.36 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 14.8 | 943.82 | 7.63 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 15.7 | 944.24 | 7.21 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 16.7 | 943.97 | 7.48 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 18.5 | 944.02 | 7.43 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 19.2 | 944.24 | 7.21 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 19.6 | 944.41 | 7.04 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Water surface, $11 / 8 / 00$ |  |
| 37 | 20.7 | 945.32 | 6.13 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Sycamore tree roots |  |


|  | A | B | C | D | $E$ | $F$ | G | H | 1 | $J$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 22.0 | 945.83 | 5.62 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Sycamore tree roots |  |
| 39 | 24.3 | 947.16 | 4.29 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Sycamore tree roots |  |
| 40 | 25.5 | 946.12 | 5.33 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 41 | 28.7 | 945.84 | 5.61 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 42 | 30.0 | 947.96 | 3.49 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Boutder |  |
| 43 | 31.0 | 947.85 | 3.60 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Boulder |  |
| 44 | 32.0 | 946.10 | 5.35 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 34.5 | 945.60 | 5.85 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Old Stream Chamnel | .-.... |
| 46 | 36.4 | 945.69 | 5.76 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  | $\ldots . .$. |
| 47 | 37.6 | 947.25 | 4.20 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Boutder |  |
| 48 | 39.5 | 945.95 | 5,50 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 49 | 42.0 | 947.67 | 3.78 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Boulder |  |
| 50 | 45.5 | 946.86 | 4.59 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 51 | 49.7 | 948.52 | 2.93 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 52 | 53.0 | 947.74 | 3.71 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  |  |  |
| 53 | 56.0 | 947.24 | 4.21 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Old Stream Channel |  |
| 54 | 58.3 | 947.06 | 4.39 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Old Thalweg | $\cdots$ |
| 55 | 61.0 | 947.99 | 3.46 |  | 948.36 | 3.09 | 951.45 |  | Right bank, rebar BM 948.36 |  |
| 56 | 64.0 | 949.48 | 1.97 |  |  |  | 951.45 |  | Slope on hill, right bank |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | * Salspu | Creek | ershe |  | ta Aver | e for | 4 yrs : 5 |  | . $/ \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mi}$ (return of 1.5 yrs . on average) |  |
| 61 | This figu | belleved | o be h |  | Cr. Wat | shed | ticipated |  | eive $2 / 3$ flow of Salspuedes Cr . Watershed |  |
| 62 | Atas. Cree | est. Bkf | ow of 3 |  | sec/sq. | $\cdots$ sinc | this area |  | ly receives less rainfall than Pozo area |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | A |  | B | c | D | E |  | F | G | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77. | Atascadero Creek X-Sect: 26,300 feet from confluence with Salinas River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | ${ }^{952.00}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{81}{82}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{84}{85}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -86 | ${ }_{c}^{E} 949.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87. | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{88}{89}$. | $\vee^{\text {¢ }} 948.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | T ${ }^{\text {P } 947.00}$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{7}$ |  |  |  | - |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{9946.00}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -96 | 944.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -97. | 943.00 |  |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 |  | 25.0 | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ \text { DISTANCE } \end{gathered}$ |  | $40.0 \quad 45.0$ |  | 50.0 | 55.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 |  |
| 98, 9. |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{107}{108}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | $\square$ | - | $\square$ |  |  |
| 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $119]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Salinas River Channel Diagrams and Spreadsheets 

 Reach 645,200 feet from Monterey BayAssisted by AmeriCorps \& California Conservation Corps 645,200 Feet Upstream From Mouth Of Monterey Bay View Looking
Downstrean flow affected by Salinas dam

Data from 06/01/01 survey 00.002 00.869 00.969 00 ㄴ69 692.00 00'069 688.00 00.989 00789
00.989 $00 \div 89 \forall$ $00 \times 289$ 00'089 ○ 678.00

Prepared for
State Department of Fish and Game
State Water Resources Control Bd. Natural Resource Conservation Service

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Salinas River Cross-Sectional Survey 13 th St. Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | GENERAL LOCATION: |  |  |  | 122.2 Miles, ( $645,200 \mathrm{ft}$ ) upstream of the river entrance into Monterey Bay |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | X-SECTION LOCATION: |  |  |  | 13 th St. Brige, City of Paso Robles |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | STUDY PREPARED FOR: |  |  |  | USLT-RCD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SURVEY TEAM: |  |  |  | D. J. Funk, Hillary Peterson \& Marti Johnson. Data input: Paul Corsi \& Stacy Smith |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | OTHERS ASSISTING: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | DATE (S) OF SURVEY: |  |  |  | 06/01/01 |  |  | Clear hot weather |  |  |
| 9 | GENERAL: All distances in ft. Beginning elevation estimated from FEMA March 16, 1981 flood survey for flood insurance study. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Elevation of underside of 13 th St. Bridge 696 ft . based on figure O4P Flood Profiles FEMA doc. : city of El Paso de Robles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Distance estimated at $645,200 \mathrm{ft}$. upstream of Montery Bay, width of crossection at 463 ft ., section area (square ft .) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | at 6656, velocity (ft. per second) at 6.6, based on Salinas River Floodway Data FEMA doc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | X-SECTION INFO: |  |  |  | Beginning distance at western most railing anchor bolt on north side |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | View ori | entation | : downstr | am. |  |  |
| 15 | TERMS: $\quad$ B.M. $=$ Bench Mark, T.W. $=$ Thalweg, W.F. $=$ Water Surface, bkf $m$ Bankfulf, B.P. $=$ Bank Pin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | ENTRENCHMENT RATIO: $\quad 337 \mathrm{ft} / 297 \mathrm{ft}=1.13<1.4=$ Entrenched (A, G \& F Stream types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $1.4-2.2=$ Mod. Entrenched (B Streams) , > $2.2=$ Slightly Entrenched (E \& C Streams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Distance | Ground Reading |  | Bkf | Bench | BM | Level | BS | Notes |  |
| 19 | from Left BM. | Elev. | Section |  | Mark Peadins |  | Elev. |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0.0 | 698.98 | 3.97 |  | 700.85 | 2.04 | 702.89 |  | Railing anchor bolt on north side. |  |
| 22 | 10.0 | 696.97 | 5.92 |  | 694.93 | 7.96 | 702.89 |  | at 101 South/North sign. BM marker in SW, $12^{\prime}$ from Hwy sign |  |
| 23 | 25.0 | 691.21 | 11.68 |  |  |  | 702.89 |  | Approx. at 4th railing boit from west end of bridge |  |
| 24 | 37.0 | 686.59 | 16.30 |  |  |  | 702.89 |  | Bare dirt |  |
| 25 | 37.0 | 684.33 | 4.71 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  | coyote bush |  |
| 26 | 43.0 | 682.12 | 6.92 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  | quail bush, coyote bush \& mustard on slope |  |
| 27 | 52.0 | 680.93 | 8.11 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 64.0 | 680.79 | 8.25 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 82.0 | 675.50 | 13.54 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  | willows at bankful |  |
| 30 | 89.0 | 673.03 | 16.01 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 100.0 | 673.01 | 16.03 |  |  |  | 689.04 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 100.0 | 672.80 | 4.56 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | sand at bridge |  |
| 33 | 103.7 | 671.65 | 5.71 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 109.0 | 671.21 | 6.15 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 119.0 | 671.15 | 6.21 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 127.0 | 670.86 | 6.50 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 128.5 | 670.82 | 6.54 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 138.0 | 670.68 | 6.68 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | gravel/sand channel |  |
| 39 | 154.0 | 671.30 | 6.06 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 163.0 | 671.38 | 5.98 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 41 | 166.8 | 671.91 | 5.45 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 42 | 170.5 | 671.07 | 6.29 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 174.0 | 670.88 | 6.48 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 179.0 | 670.03 | 7.33 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 184.7 | 669.81 | 7.55 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | water surface-left side |  |
| 46 | 188.0 | 669.63 | 7.73 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 47 | 191.5 | 669.53 | 7.83 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 48 | 194.0 | 669.67 | 7.69 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | tad poles-moss in river/ west edge of pillar |  |
| 49 | 196.0 | 669.34 | 8.02 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 198.5 | 669.51 | 7.85 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 51 | 200.0 | 669.73 | 7.63 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | water surface-right side |  |
| 52 | 205.0 | 671.56 | 5.80 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 53 | 211.0 | 671.62 | 5.74 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | to east edge of pillar-213.5 |  |
| 54 | 215.0 | 671.03 | 6.33 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 55 | 220.7 | 670.57 | 6.79 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 56 | 223.0 | 671.09 | 6.27 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 57 | 225.4 | 671.85 | 5.51 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 58 | 239.0 | 672.10 | 5.26 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | cottonwoods |  |
| 59 | 247.0 | 672.04 | 5.32 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 60 | 258.0 | 671.84 | 5.52 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 61 | 259.6 | 671.67 | 5.69 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | small channel-dry |  |
| 62 | 262.0 | 671.59 | 5.77 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 63 | 265.5 | 671.45 | 5.91 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | substrate-cobbles |  |
| 64 | 266.3 | 671.62 | 5.74 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | dry channel |  |
| 65 | 267.4 | 671.41 | 5.95 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 66 | 270.0 | 671.76 | 5.60 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 67 | 273.0 | 671.95 | 5.41 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | substrate-sand |  |
| 68 | 279.0 | 672.12 | 5.24 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 69 | 289.0 | 672.58 | 4.78 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 70 | 294.0 | 672.71 | 4.65 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | west edge of pillar 296' |  |
| 71 | 296.0 | 672.57 | 4.79 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 72 | 300.0 | 673.33 | 4.03 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 73 | 303.8 | 673.31 | 4.05 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | center of pillar |  |
| 74 | 315.0 | 673.28 | 4.08 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 323.0 | 673.58 | 3.78 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 76 | 329.2 | 673.63 | 3.73 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 77 | 331.0 | 672.73 | 4.63 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 78 | 335.0 | 672.36 | 5.00 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 79 | 338.3 | 672.60 | 4.76 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 338.6 | 673.45 | 3.91 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | tree root/cottonwood root |  |
| 81 | 339.7 | 673.27 | 4.09 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 82 | 340.0 | 672.30 | 5.06 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 83 | 342.0 | 672.46 | 4.90 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 84 | 344.0 | 672.05 | 5.31 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 85 | 348.5 | 671.45 | 5.91 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 86 | 351.0 | 671.57 | 5.79 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 87 | 357.5 | 671.14 | 6.22 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  | no flow in this channel |  |
| 88 | 366.0 | 671.56 | 5.80 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 89 | 369.0 | 671.92 | 5.44 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 90 | 374.0 | 672.80 | 4.56 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 91 | 376.5 | 673.63 | 3.73 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 92 | 377.3 | 673.87 | 3.49 |  |  |  | 677.36 |  |  |  |
| 93 | 384.3 | 680.98 | 14.02 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  | top of bank/slightly above bank full |  |
| 94 | 390.0 | 681.54 | 13.46 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 95 | 400.0 | 682.17 | 12.83 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  | grass |  |
| 96 | 405.0 | 682.39 | 12.61 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  | grass |  |
| 97 | 418.0 | 683.36 | 11.64 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  | grass |  |
| 98 | 441.0 | 684.39 | 10.61 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 99 | 448.0 | 684.94 | 10.06 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 454.0 | 687.04 | 7.96 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 101 | 458.0 | 688.20 | 6.80 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 102 | 463.0 | 690.15 | 4.85 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 103 | 466.5 | 692.04 | 2.96 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 104 | 470.0 | 693.84 | 1.16 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 105 | 480.0 | 694.42 | 0.58 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 106 | 491.5 | 694.41 | 0.59 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 107 | 494.6 | 694.95 | 0.05 |  |  |  | 695.00 |  |  |  |
| 108 | 495.0 | 695.12 | 8.66 |  |  |  | 703.78 |  |  |  |
| 109 | 500.0 | 696.07 | 7.71 |  |  |  | 703.78 |  |  |  |
| 110 | 505.0 | 696.90 | 6.88 |  |  |  | 703.78 |  |  |  |
| 111 | 509.4 | 697.37 | 6.41 |  | 703.43 | 0.35 | 703.78 |  | ground even with boit/bridge railing right BM |  |



# Estrella River Channel Diagrams and Spreadsheets <br> Reach 6,000 feet from confluence with Salinas River 



 Telephone f_Pole

616.00

E 614.00
I 612.00
e 610.00
$\wedge$
a 608.00
t 606.00
, 604.00

0
n 602.00

Data from 06/01/01 survey
Estrella River
STREAM CHANNEL
MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Prepartment of Fish and Game
State Water Resources Control Bd. әolnes uoifentesuoj eoxnosey jennen

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | Estrella River Cross-Sectional Survey at North River Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | GENERAL LOCATION: |  |  |  | 6000 feet from confluence with Salinas River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | X-SECTION LOCATION: |  |  |  | at River Road crossing near Salinas confluence |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | STUDY PREPARED FOR: |  |  |  | USLT-RCD |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SURVEY TEAM: |  |  |  | D. J. Funk, Hillary Peterson \& Marti Johnson |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | OTHERS ASSISTING: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | DATE (S) OF SURVEY: |  |  |  | 06/01/01 |  |  | Clear hot weather |  |  |
| 9 | GENERAL: | All distances in ft. Beginning elevation estimated from USGS map. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | Elevation of thalweg estimated to be 601' based on USGS guad map |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | Distance estimated: $60+00$ est. from confluence with Salinas River based on USGS Quad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | X-SECTION INFO.: |  |  |  | Beginning distance at power pole anchor (painted orange) on south side (left bank) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | View orientation: downstream. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | TERMS: $\quad$ B.M. $=$ Bench Mark, T.W. $=$ Thalweg, W. F = Water Surface, bkf $=$ Bankfull, B.P. $=$ Bank Pin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | ENTRENCHMENT RATIO: $\quad 67 / 46=1.45<1.4=$ Entrenched (A, G \& F Stream types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1.4-2.2 = Mod. Entrenched (B Streams) $>2.2=$ Slightly Entrenched (E\& C Streams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Distance | Ground | Reading | Bkf | Bench | BM | Level | BS | Notes |  |
| 19 | from Left $B M$. | Elev. | Section |  | Mark | eadins | Elev. |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0.0 | 614.66 | 3.53 |  | 615.23 | 2.96 | 618.19 |  | Left BM at telephone power pole anchor |  |
| 22 | 20.0 | 614.39 | 3.80 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  | edge of crop field |  |
| 23 | 40.0 | 614.28 | 3.91 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 60.0 | 613.96 | 4.23 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 70.0 | 613.58 | 4.61 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 75.0 | 612.54 | 5.65 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 82.0 | 609.01 | 9.18 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  | quail bush, coyote bush \& mustard on slope |  |
| 28 | 87.0 | 606.01 | 12.18 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 91.4 | 604.41 | 13.78 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 100.0 | 603.70 | 14.49 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 105.0 | 602.89 | 15.30 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 109.7 | 602.31 | 15.88 |  |  |  | 618.19 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 109.8 | 601.72 | 9.17 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  | flood level |  |
| 34 | 110.7 | 601.28 | 9.61 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 114.0 | 601.01 | 9.88 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 117.0 | 601.00 | 9.89 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  | Thalweg |  |
| 37 | 118.5 | 601.53 | 9.36 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |


|  | A | B | C | D | $E$ | F | G | H | I | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 124.3 | 601.70 | 9.19 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 39 | 135.0 | 601.42 | 9.47 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 140.0 | 601.28 | 9.61 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 41 | 148.0 | 601.24 | 9.65 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  | tire track |  |
| 42 | 148.7 | 601.09 | 9.80 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  | tire track |  |
| 43 | 149.4 | 601.18 | 9.71 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  | tire track |  |
| 44 | 157.2 | 601.24 | 9.65 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 160.6 | 601.34 | 9.55 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 46 | 162.0 | 601.79 | 9.10 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 47 | 172.0 | 601.68 | 9.21 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 48 | 180.0 | 601.94 | 8.95 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 49 | 190.0 | 602.19 | 8.70 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 200.0 | 602.29 | 8.60 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 51 | 210.0 | 602.29 | 8.60 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 52 | 220.0 | 602.23 | 8.66 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 53 | 230.0 | 602.71 | 8.18 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 54 | 240.0 | 602.68 | 8.21 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 55 | 250.0 | 602.56 | 8.33 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 56 | 260.0 | 602.63 | 8.26 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 57 | 270.0 | 602.55 | 8.34 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 58 | 280.0 | 603.31 | 7.58 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  | grasses |  |
| 59 | 290.0 | 604.30 | 6.59 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 60 | 300.0 | 606.26 | 4.63 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 61 | 310.0 | 607.31 | 3.58 |  |  |  | 610.89 |  |  |  |
| 62 | 314.5 | 607.37 | 3.52 |  | 607.55 | 3.34 | 610.89 |  | Right BM--rebar at fence post |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District
To the Department of Fish and Game

## Draft Work Program

## PHASE II OF THE UPPER SALINAS RIVER WATERSHED RESOURCE CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT PLAN RESOURCE AND HABITAT RESTORATION PROJECT

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Upper Salinas-Las Tablas RCD, in cooperation with NRCS, the Department of Fish and Game, the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, the State Water Resources Control Board and other agencies and organizations, has instituted a program to improve the conditions of the Salinas River. A list of "early actions" has been prepared. These early actions include a list of measures intended to improve the steelhead habitat conditions of these creeks. A stakeholder Task Force and a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) were formed to assist the RCD in the preparation of a Watershed Resource Conservation Management Plan.


Salinas River near Atascadero Creek
The State Water Resources Control Board has designated the Salinas River and its tributaries as one of the most critical watersheds in the State of California due to degrading habitats and non-point
pollution impacts on water quality. The Salinas River is the largest river system affecting the Monterey Bay, designated as a National Marine Sanctuary. As part of phase I of this project, the RCD initiated a planning effort for the watershed.

## PHASE II WORK PROGRAM

## General Description

The RCD has defined a three-phase workplan to collect data, determine problems and issues, prepare a watershed management plan, implement measures that will correct problems and assess the success of the program. One of the major purposes is to implement the strategies contained in the Water Quality Protection Program for the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary, Action Plan IV: Agriculture and Rural Lands. The first phase of the project is a focus study of the Salinas River and several tributaries. The second phase will be to expand the study to other portions of the watershed and prepare a Watershed Resource Conservation Management Plan for the entire watershed. The third phase will include the implementation of the goals and measures determined in Phase II.

## Components of the Phase II Draft Work Plan

- Expand the channel morphological and biological surveys to include additional representative reaches in the Salinas Watershed
- Conduct a habitat typing of current and historical steelhead stream channels
- Expand water quality monitoring
- Assist the farm bureaus and other groups in creating property owner initiated water quality monitoring. In addition, expand existing volunteer based water quality monitoring programs throughout the watershed.
- Support ongoing meetings of Upper Salinas Watershed Coalition, Task Force and Technical Advisory Committee
- Identify unhealthy conditions on reference reaches
- Identify steelhead barriers on current and historical steelhead streams
- Identify stream and watershed management options
- Quantify the degree of the problems
- Establish workable methods to improve habitat and meet total minimum daily loads (TMDL's) for sediment
- Encourage resource planning and the development of resource management plans, such as those promoted by Water Quality Planning short courses, the Central Coast Vineyard Team's positive point system, and NRCS incentives programs
- Compile/distribute technical information to public on agricultural conservation practices
- Strengthen referral network and cross-training for technical field staff with cooperating agencies
- Work with Farm Bureau to strengthen grower/rancher networks
- Evaluate and distribute information on cost effectiveness of management practices
- Work with farmers to implement RCD and NRCS prepared Beneficial Agricultural


## Management Practices (BAMP's)

- Prepare the Resource Conservation Management Plan (RCMP) describing the findings and proposed goals, objectives and practices/actions
- Repair creek channel habitat. Projects are proposed along creeks, returning healthy meandering channels, establishing good pool-riffle ratios and planting of bank riparian vegetation
- Remove barriers to steelhead
- Promote programs to control noxious and invasive weeds
- Develop user friendly permit guidebooks central locations for permit information
- Develop permit streamlining for permits for conservation management practices
- Improve collaborative efforts between regulatory agencies and landowners
- Prepare Erosion Control Handbook for governmental agencies and property owner use
- Implement measures (such as signage) to prevent unauthorized vehicles from using the river channels. Gain cooperation of local enforcement agencies.
- Train and support volunteers
- Provide educational opportunities to the public through participation in a volunteer stream monitoring program. Work with students both in the classroom and during field trips to the river.
- Support expanded offerings and participation in the Ranch Water Quality Planning short courses as well as participating in the development and implementation of similar courses for vineyards and row crops;
- Conduct outreach such as with media releases
- Expand the existing creek clean-up program. This program could expand the Groundwater Guardian involvement in areas of the Upper Salinas River and tributaries.
- Expand the watershed educational fair.
- With assistance from the Cooperative Extension, construct a working watershed model for use at the fair and with local schools.
- Expand existing USLT-RCD programs such as the Erosion Control Assistance Program (ECAP), design assistance and conservation cost-share assistance to eligible landowners (such as NRCS EQIP and WHIP programs).
- Provide advice to agriculturalists for beneficial maintenance practices to address erosion control for roads
- Work with agencies to improve conservation measures on agency/public trust lands
- Determine acceptable alternative water resources for domestic and agricultural uses (examples: use of cisterns and use of reclaimed water)
- Provide measures for urban improvements such as reduction in impermeable surfaces, retention/detention/sediment basins, bioretention basins, use of planted drainage corridors, reductions in use of pesticides and fertilizers, etc.
- Recommend purchase of development rights in critical watershed areas.
- Identify possible future funding options
- Prepare a work program for Phase III


## Geographical Area

The site is the Upper Salinas Watershed (the area is defined as the watershed of the Salinas and Estrella Rivers and other tributaries from the Nacimiento River south).

## Program Phases

The project is Phase II of three phases. Phase I included the initiation of the planning program, the establishment of a Task Force and Technical Advisory Committee, creation of a monitoring protocol and volunteer network, beginning of a survey program and the preparation of a list of problems facing the watershed. Phase II will expand the study to include other creeks and rivers in the watershed, prepare the Resource Conservation Management Plan (RCMP) and accomplish the other items identified in this draft work program. Phase III will begin the implementation portion of the program and the assessment of the success of the action items.

## Cooperating Agencies and Organizations

This project is a collaboration of a number of agencies and organizations:
Agencies
State Department of Fish and Game
State Water Resources Control Board
Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service
Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary
Monterey County Resource Conservation District
California Conservation Corps
City of Atascadero
Atascadero Mutual Water Company
County of San Luis Obispo

## Organizations

San Luis Obispo County Farm Bureau
Nature Conservancy
UC Cooperative Extension
American Watersheds ACSF
Central Coast Vineyard Team
Groundwater Guardian
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